

琉球大学学術リポジトリ

A Splitting Condition for Metabelian Groups

メタデータ	言語: 出版者: 琉球大学文理学部 公開日: 2011-11-21 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: 与那覇, 政信 メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/22349

A Splitting Condition for Metabelian Groups

Masanobu YONAHA

1. Let G be a group and N a normal subgroup of G . If G contains a subgroup C such that $G=NC$ and $N\cap C=1$, then we shall say G splits over N and C is a complement of N in G .

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem concerning a splitting condition for metabelian groups (a group G is called metabelian, if the commutator subgroup G' is Abelian) over its commutator subgroup.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a metabelian group whose commutator subgroup G' contains no non-trivial central elements. Suppose further that all ascending and descending chains of normal (relative to G) subgroups of G' are finite. Then (i) G splits over G' and (ii) the complements of G' in G form a conjugate class.*

Theorem 1 generalizes Theorem 3.3 and a part of Theorem 3.5 of Newman [3]. Another condition is given in Corollary of the Principal Ideal Theorem in [4, p.179].

The present paper is a part of the author's Ph. D. thesis submitted to the University of Kansas. The author would like to thank Professor W. R. Scott for his guidance, criticism and kind encouragement.

2. Let G be a group and $x, y, z \in G$. The commutators satisfy the following well-known identities:

$$x[x, y] = x^y = [y, x^{-1}]x, \quad (1)$$

$$[x, y]^{-1} = [y, x], \quad (2)$$

$$[xz, y] = [x, y]^z [z, y], \quad (3)$$

$$[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y]^z. \quad (4)$$

If z belongs to the centralizer $C(G')$ of the commutator subgroup G' , then (3) and (4) become, respectively,

$$[xz, y] = [x, y][z, y] \quad (5)$$

$$[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y]. \quad (6)$$

Lemma 1. *Let G be a metabelian group. Then, for $a \in G', x, y \in G$,*

$$(i) \quad [a, x] = [a, x^y],$$

$$(ii) \quad [a, x, y] = [a, y, x].$$

Proof. The normality and the Abelianess of G' are used repeatedly in the proof.

$$(i) \quad \begin{aligned} [a, x^y] &= a^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}yay^{-1}xy \\ &= a^{-1}x^{-1}(xy^{-1}x^{-1}y)ay^{-1}xy \\ &= a^{-1}x^{-1}a(xy^{-1}x^{-1}y)y^{-1}xy \\ &= a^{-1}x^{-1}ax = [a, x]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} [a, x, y] &= [x, a]y^{-1}[a, x]y \\ &= (x^{-1}a^{-1}xa)y^{-1}(a^{-1}x^{-1}ax)y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&=x^{-1}a^{-1}x(ay^{-1}a^{-1}y)y^{-1}x^{-1}axy \\
&=[a^{-1},y]x^{-1}a^{-1}(xy^{-1}x^{-1})a(xyx^{-1})x \\
&=[y,a]x^{-1}[a,y^{x^{-1}}]x \\
&=[y,a]x^{-1}[a,y]x \text{ (by (i))} \\
&=[a,y,x].
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *Let G be a metabelian group with $G \cap Z(G) = 1$, where $Z(G)$ is the center of G . Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G , contained in G' , and let x be an element of G but not contained in the centralizer $C(N)$ of N . Then the mapping $\phi: a\phi = [x, a]$, $a \in N$, (and, similarly, the mapping $\psi: a\psi = [a, x]$, $a \in N$) is an automorphism of N .*

Proof. By a result of Newman [3, Lemma 3.2, p.356], $N\phi$ and $C(x) \cap N$ are normal subgroups of G . Hence, by the minimality of N , $N\phi = N$ and $C(x) \cap N = 1$. Since G' is Abelian, it follows at once from (6) that ϕ is an automorphism of N .

The following lemma is a generalization of Theorem 3.3 and a part of Theorem 3.5 of Newman [3]. However the proof is those of Newman with slight modification.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a metabelian group with $G' \cap Z(G) = 1$. If G' is a minimal normal subgroup of G , then (i) G splits over G' and (ii) the complements of G' are maximal subgroups and they form a conjugate class.*

Proof. (i) Let x be an element of G not belonging to the centralizer $C(G')$ of G' , then, by Lemma 2, the mapping: $a \rightarrow [x, a]$, $a \in G'$, is an automorphism of G' . Hence, for every element g of G , there is one, and only one, element a in G' , such that $[x, a] = [g, x]$ and, by (6) and (2),

$$[x, ga] = [x, a][x, g] = 1.$$

That is, there is exactly one element of the centralizer $C(x)$ of x in each coset of G' in G . Thus

$$G = G'C(x) \text{ and } G' \cap C(x) = 1.$$

(ii) Let C be a complement of G' . Let M be a subgroup of G , which contains C properly. Then, by the modular law, $M = (G' \cap M)C$. Since $G' \cap M \leq G'$ and G' is Abelian and normal in G , $G' \cap M$ is normal in G . Now, by the minimality of G' , $G' \cap M = G'$, and hence $M = G$. Therefore C is maximal.

Let C_1 and C_2 be two complements of G' in G . Let x, y be elements of C_1 and C_2 , respectively, which are not in $C(G')$ (such elements exist, since $G' \cap Z(G) = 1$). Then, since C_1 and C_2 are Abelian and maximal, $C_1 = C(x)$ and $C_2 = C(y)$ (centralizers of x and y , respectively). The mappings: $a \rightarrow [x, a]$ and $b \rightarrow [b, y]$, $a, b \in G'$, are, by Lemma 2, automorphisms of G' , and hence the mapping: $a \rightarrow [x, a, y]$, $a \in G'$, is an automorphism of G' . Thus there is a unique element $a \in G'$, such that $[x, a, y] = [y, x]$. Hence, by (1) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
[x^a, y] &= [x[x, a], y] \\
&= [x, y][x, a, y] \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

so that $x^a \in C_2$. Moreover, x^a does not belong to $C(G')$. For, otherwise, x would

be contained in $C(G')$, a contradiction. Now $x^a \notin C(G')$ implies, by a previous remark, that $C_2 = C(x^a)$, the centralizer of x^a . Therefore C_2 is conjugate to C_1 .

3. We now prove our theorem. If $G' = 1$, then the theorem is trivially true. On the other hand, if G' is a minimal normal subgroup of G , then the conclusions follow from Lemma 3.

Therefore suppose that G' is not a minimal normal subgroup of G . Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G , which is contained in G' (there is such N by our hypothesis on the descending chains of normal subgroups in G'). We consider G/N . Clearly $(G/N)' = G'/N$. We first show that

$$G'/N \cap Z(G/N) = 1.$$

Deny. Then there is an element a in G' , but not in N , such that Na is a central element of G/N , that is, $[a, g] \in N$ for all g in G . Let $A = N\langle a \rangle$, then $A \leq G'$. Let x be an element of G , not in the centralizer $C(N)$ of N (there is such x since $G' \cap Z(G) = 1$). Then the mapping $\phi: b \rightarrow [b, x]$, $b \in A$, is a homomorphism of A onto N . For, by (5),

$$[b, x] = [na^i, x] = [n, x] [a^i, x] = [n, x] [a, x]^i \in N,$$

and $[b_1 b_2, x] = [b_1, x] [b_2, x]$,

where $b, b_1, b_2 \in A$ and $n \in N$. Thus ϕ is a homomorphism from A into N . But, by Lemma 2, $N\phi = N$ and hence ϕ is onto. Let K be the kernel of ϕ , then $A = NK$. Moreover, since each element of K commutes with x , whereas no elements, except the identity, of N commute with x , we have $N \cap K = 1$. Now, $a = nk$ for some $n \in N$ and $k \in K, k \neq 1$ (since $a \notin N$). Also, by (5),

$$[a, g] = [nk, g] = [n, g] [k, g],$$

which is in N . Hence $[k, g]$ is in N . It suffices to show that $[k, g] = 1$ for all g in G , i.e., $k \in Z(G)$. For, then, since $k \in G'$, $G \cap Z(G) \neq 1$, contradicting our assumption. (We could have taken $a = k$, to begin with.) Since k commutes with x , $[k, x] = 1$, and hence $[k, x, g] = 1$, for all g in G . By Lemma 1 (ii),

$$1 = [k, x, g] = [k, g, x].$$

But, by Lemma 2, the mapping: $n \rightarrow [n, x]$, $n \in N$, is an automorphism of N . Therefore $[k, g] = 1$.

(i) Now, G/N is, of course, metabelian and $G'/N \cap Z(G/N) = 1$. Moreover, our hypothesis on the ascending and descending chains of normal subgroups in G' , which also carries over to G'/N , implies that the length of a chief series of G between 1 and G' is finite. Thus, by induction on its length, there is a subgroup H of G , such that $G/N = G'/N \cdot H/N$, $G'/N \cap H/N = 1$, that is, $G = G'H$, $G' \cap H \leq N$. Since $H' \leq G' \cap H \leq G'$, which is Abelian, and H' is normal in H , H' is normal in G . Hence, either $H' = N$ or $H' = 1$, by the minimality of N . If $H' = 1$ (and hence H is Abelian), then each element of N commutes elementwise with both H and G' and hence is in the center $Z(G)$ of G , a contradiction (since $N \leq G'$). Thus, $H' = N$. If there were a normal subgroup N_1 of H , such that $1 < N_1 < N$, then N_1 would be normal in G , contradicting the minimality of N . Hence $N (=H')$ is minimal normal in H . Also, $N \cap Z(H) = 1$ is clear. Therefore, by Lemma 3 (i),

H possesses a subgroup C such that $H=NC$ and $N\cap C=1$. We now have

$$G=G'H=G'NC=G'C$$

and

$$G'\cap C\leq G'\cap H\cap C\leq N\cap C=1.$$

(ii) Let C_1 and C_2 be two complements of G' . Then $N C_1/N$ and $N C_2/N$ are complements of G'/N in G/N . Hence, as in (i), by induction, they are conjugate, that is, there is an element x in G , such that

$$H=NC_1=N(x^{-1}C_2x).$$

Since C_1 and $x^{-1}C_2x$ are Abelian and $N\cap Z(H)=1$, $N\cap C_1=N\cap(x^{-1}C_2x)=1$.

Hence C_1 and $x^{-1}C_2x$ are complements of $N(=H')$ in H . Since N is minimal normal in H , by Lemma 3 (ii), they are conjugate. In other words, there is an element y in H , such that

$$C_1=(xy)^{-1}C_2(xy).$$

This completes the proof.

4. It follows, as an immediate consequence, from Theorem 1 that if G is finite metabelian group whose commutator subgroup G' contains no non-trivial central elements, i. e., $G'\cap Z(G)=1$, then G splits over G' . On the other hand, the converse also holds. The following lemma is a slightly more general case.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a finite metabelian group and G' its commutator subgroup. If G possesses an Abelian subgroup A such that $G=G'A$, then (i) G' contains no non-trivial central elements and (ii) A is a complement of G' in G .*

Proof. We have

$$[G',G]=[G',G'A]=[G',A]$$

Hence, by a theorem of Ito [2, Satz 1, p. 400], $[G',G]=G'$. Now, $1<G'<G$ is the lower nilpotent series of G , and G' is Abelian by assumption. Therefore, by a theorem of Carter [1, Theorem 1, p. 90],

$$G'\cap Z(G)=1.$$

The second part follows from (i) immediately, for, otherwise, $1\neq G'\cap A\leq Z(G)$, a contradiction.

We have thus shown

Theorem 2. *A finite metabelian group G splits over its commutator subgroup G' if, and only if,*

$$G'\cap Z(G)=1.$$

Bibliography

1. W. R. Carter, *Splitting properties of soluble groups*, J. London Math. Soc., 36 (1961), 89-94.
2. N. Ito, *Über das Produkt von Zwei Abelisch Gruppen*, Math. Zeit., 62 (1955), 400-401.
3. M. F. Newman, *On a class of metabelian groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 10 (1960), 355-375.
4. H. Zassenhaus, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea, New York, 1958.

University of Kansas

University of the Ryukyus