

# 琉球大学学術リポジトリ

## An Example of Blowing Down

メタデータ	言語: 出版者: 琉球大学工学部 公開日: 2012-02-28 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: Mori, Yasuko, 森, 泰子 メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/23506">http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/23506</a>

## An Example of Blowing Down

Yasuko MORI\*

1.

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $S$  a submanifold of  $\tilde{X}$  of codimension 1. We denote by  $[S]$  the complex line bundle over  $\tilde{X}$  defined by the divisor  $S$  of  $\tilde{X}$ . We assume that  $S$  has a structure of an analytic fibre bundle over a complex manifold  $M$  with fibre  $P^{r-1}$  ( $r \geq 2$ ), an  $(r-1)$ -dimensional complex projective space. We denote by  $L_a$  the fibre over  $a \in M$  in the bundle  $S \rightarrow M$ , by  $[e]$  the complex line bundle over  $L_a \approx P^{r-1}$  defined by the hyperplane, and by  $[S]_{L_a}$  the restriction of  $[S]$  to  $L_a$ .

Nakano [2] and Fujiki-Nakano [1] have shown the following result; If the condition  $[S]_{L_a} = [e]^{-1}$  for any  $a \in M$  is satisfied, then there are an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $X$  containing  $M$  and a holomorphic map  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is the monoidal transform of  $X$  with centre  $M$  and  $S = \pi^{-1}(M)$ . This is obtained by an application of the cohomology vanishing theorem for a weakly 1-complete manifold with a positive line bundle.

In this paper we show the following result, which is a variant of Nakano's theorem.

**Theorem.** *If the condition  $[S]_{L_a} = [e]^{-k}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) for any  $a \in M$  is satisfied, then we can construct an  $n$ -dimensional complex space  $X$  containing  $M$  as the singular locus and a holomorphic map  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  so that  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is the monoidal transform of  $X$  with centre  $M$  and  $S = \pi^{-1}(M)$ .*

We show this theorem by an analogous argument to that of Nakano.

I understand that Akira Fujiki has a more general result on blowing down. Ours is more concrete in its construction.

I wish to thank Professor S. Nakano for useful suggestions and Professor K. Kasahara for detailed discussions.

2.

First we give an example of a monoidal transformation in which the assumption of our theorem holds.

Let  $j: P^{r-1} \rightarrow P^N$  be the Veronese transformation of degree  $k$  i.e.  $j$  is given by  $j(\eta^1: \dots: \eta^r) = (M^1(\eta): \dots: M^{N+1}(\eta))$  for a system of homogeneous coordinates  $(\eta^1: \dots: \eta^r)$  of  $P^{r-1}$ , where  $M^i(\eta)$  is a monomial in  $\eta^1, \dots, \eta^r$  of degree  $k$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N+1 = rHk$ ).  $j(P^{r-1}) = V$  is an  $(r-1)$ -dimensional submanifold of  $P^N$ . The cone  $K$  of  $V$  is a normal analytic set of  $C^{N+1}$  and has the vertex (O) as its

unique singularity.

We form  $\tilde{C}^{N+1}$  by blowing up  $C^{N+1}$  at the origin  $O$ .  $\tilde{C}^{N+1}$  can be considered as the total space of the complex line bundle over  $P^N$  which is defined with respect to the open covering  $\{U_i \mid i=1, \dots, N+1\}$ , where  $U_i = \{(z^1 : \dots : z^{N+1}) \in P^N \mid z^i \neq 0\}$ , by a system of transition functions  $\left\{ \frac{z^i}{z^j} \right\}$ . Let  $\tilde{K}$  be the inverse image of  $K$  by the projection  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow C^{N+1}$ , then  $\tilde{K}$  is the line bundle over  $V$ , which is the restriction of the bundle  $(\tilde{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow P^N)$  to  $V$ .

$\tilde{p}^{-1}(O) \subset \tilde{C}^{N+1}$  is the zero-section of the bundle  $(\tilde{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow P^N)$  and  $T = \tilde{p}^{-1}(O) \cap \tilde{K}$  is the zero-section of the bundle  $(\tilde{K} \rightarrow V)$ . By restricting  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow C^{N+1}$  to  $\tilde{K}$ , we have a holomorphic map  $p$  from  $\tilde{K}$  onto  $K$  which gives a biholomorphic homeomorphism  $\tilde{K} - T \approx K - O$ .

The divisor  $T$  of  $\tilde{K}$  defines a complex line bundle  $[T]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [T] &= \text{the normal bundle of } T \text{ in } \tilde{K} \\ &= \text{the line bundle } (\tilde{K} \rightarrow V) \\ &= \text{the restriction } (\tilde{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow P^N) |_V. \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $i_\alpha$  so that  $M^{i_\alpha}(\eta) = (\eta^\alpha)^k$  ( $\alpha=1, \dots, r$ ), then  $V$  is covered by  $r$  open sets  $U_{i_\alpha} \supset \{(M^{i_\alpha}(\eta)) \in P^N \mid (\eta^\alpha)^k \neq 0\}$  ( $\alpha=1, \dots, r$ ). So the transition functions become  $\frac{z^{i_\alpha}}{z^{i_\beta}} = \frac{(\eta^\alpha)^k}{(\eta^\beta)^k} = \left( \frac{\eta^\alpha}{\eta^\beta} \right)^{-k}$ . While the line bundle  $[e]$  on  $P^{r-1}$  is defined by a system of transition functions  $\left\{ \frac{\eta^\alpha}{\eta^\beta} \right\}$  with respect to the open covering  $\{(\eta) \in P^{r-1} \mid \eta^\alpha \neq 0\}$  ( $\alpha=1, \dots, r$ ). Hence we have  $(\tilde{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow P^N) |_V = [e]^{-k}$ .

Thus we have obtained a situation such that the mapping  $p : \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$  is holomorphic onto,  $p : \tilde{K} - T \approx K - O$ ,  $T = p^{-1}(O) \approx P^{r-1}$  and  $[T]_T = [e]^{-k}$ .

### 3.

We use the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1 (Nakano).** *Let  $V$  be a weakly 1-complete manifold,  $B$  a complex line bundle over  $V$  and  $K_V$  the canonical line bundle of  $V$ . If  $K_V^{-1} \otimes B$  is positive, then we have  $H^q(V, O(B)) = 0$  ( $q \geq 1$ ).*

This is a special case of theorem 1 [3].

**Theorem 2(k).** *If the condition  $[S]_{L_a} = [e]^{-k}$  for any  $a \in M$  is satisfied, then there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $L_a$  in  $\tilde{X}$  such that*

- 1) if  $V \cap L_b \neq \emptyset$ , then  $L_b \subset V$ ,
- 2)  $V$  is a weakly 1-complete manifold and  $K_V^{-1} \otimes [S]_{V^{-\varepsilon}}$  is positive for  $\varepsilon=1, 2$ .

*Proof.* We take a system of local coordinates  $\{\zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^m\}$  ( $m=n-r$ ) with centre  $a \in M$  so that the bundle  $S \rightarrow M$  is trivial on the coordinate neighbourhood  $D =$

$\{\zeta \in C^m \mid \phi(\zeta) < 1\}$  of a i.e.  $\pi^{-1}(D) \approx D \times P^{r-1}$ , where  $\phi(\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^m |\zeta^j|^2$ . Then

we have  $[S]_{D \times P} = [e]^{-k}$ , where we also use the notation  $[e]$  to denote the pull-back of  $[e]$  on  $Pr^{-1}$  by the natural projection  $D \times P \rightarrow P$ .

We fix a system of homogeneous coordinates  $(\eta^1 : \dots : \eta^r)$  of  $Pr^{-1}$ .  $\left\{ \xi_\alpha^\beta = \frac{\eta^\beta}{\eta^\alpha} \mid \beta = 1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}, \dots, r \right\}$  is a system of inhomogeneous coordinates on the coordinate neighbourhood  $U_\alpha = \{(\eta) \in Pr^{-1} \mid \eta^\alpha \neq 0\}$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, r$ ).  $[e]$  on  $D \times Pr^{-1}$  is defined by a system of transition functions  $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\eta^\beta}{\eta^\alpha} = \xi_\alpha^\beta$  with respect to the open covering  $\{D \times U_\alpha \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, r\}$ . The bundle  $[e]$  is positive by taking a "metric"  $a_\alpha = e \cdot \phi^{(\ast)} \sum_{\beta=1}^m |\xi_\alpha^\beta|^2$  on the fibres of  $[e]$ .

We can choose a finite open covering  $\{U'_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of  $Pr^{-1}$  and open subset  $V'_\lambda$  of  $\bar{X}$  such that  $\{U'_\lambda\}$  is a refinement of  $\{U_\alpha\}$  and  $V'_\lambda \cap S = D \times U'_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . We denote the refining map by  $\sigma : \Lambda \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$  with  $U'_\lambda \subset U_{\sigma(\lambda)}$ .

We can take a system of local coordinates  $\{z_\lambda^1, \dots, z_\lambda^m, y_\lambda, x_\lambda^1, \dots, x_\lambda^{\hat{\sigma(\lambda)}}, \dots, x_\lambda^r\}$  on  $V'_\lambda$  such that

$$(\ast\ast) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S \text{ is defined in } V'_\lambda \text{ by the local equation } y_\lambda = 0, \\ z_\lambda^j \mid_S = \zeta^j \quad (j = 1, \dots, m), \quad x_\lambda^\alpha \mid_S = \xi_{\sigma(\lambda)}^\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, r), \\ \text{and } x_\lambda^{\sigma(\lambda)} \equiv 1. \end{array} \right.$$

$V' = \bigcup_\lambda V'_\lambda$  is a neighbourhood of  $La$  and  $V' \cap S = D \times Pr^{-1}$ . The bundle  $[S]_{V'}$  is defined by a system of transition functions  $e_{\lambda\mu} = \frac{y_\lambda}{y_\mu}$  with respect to the open covering  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V'_\lambda\}$  of  $V'$ . Moreover we take  $y_\lambda$  so that  $e_{\lambda\mu} \mid_S = \varepsilon_{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(\mu)}^{-k}$ , and hereafter we write  $\varepsilon_{\lambda\mu}$  for  $\varepsilon_{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(\mu)}$ .

By the adjunction formula for canonical bundles:  $K_{V' \cap S} = K_{V'} \mid_{V' \cap S} \otimes [S]_{V' \cap S}$  we have  $K_{V'} \mid_{V' \cap S} = K_{D \times P^{r-1}} \otimes [S]_{D \times P^{-1}} = [e]^{-r} \otimes [e]^k = [e]^{-(r-k)}$ , so we may assume that the bundle  $K_{V'}$  is represented with respect to the open covering  $\mathfrak{B}$  by a system of transition functions  $\{k_{\lambda\mu}\}$  with  $k_{\lambda\mu} \mid_S = \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu}^{-(r-k)}$ .

Using the fact  $H^1(D \times P, O([e]^\ell)) = 0$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ), we can extend holomorphic functions  $\zeta^j$  on  $D \times U'_\lambda$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) approximately to holomorphic functions on  $V'_\lambda$  ([2] p. 495), extend holomorphic cross-sections  $\{\tau^\lambda\}_\lambda$  of  $[e]^k$  on  $V \cap S$  defined by  $\tau^\lambda_i = \frac{M^i(\eta)}{(\gamma^{\sigma(\lambda)})^k}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N+1$ ) approximately to holomorphic cross-sections of  $[S]^{-1}$  on  $V$ . Similarly we can extend holomorphic cross-sections  $\{\omega^\rho_\lambda(\varepsilon)\}$  of  $[e]^{r+(\varepsilon-1)k}$  on  $V \cap S$  ( $\rho = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $H^{r+(\varepsilon-1)k}$ ) approximately to holomorphic cross-sections of  $K^{-1} \otimes [S]^{-\varepsilon}$  on  $V$  ([1] p. 641), where  $\omega^\rho_\lambda(\varepsilon) = \frac{N^\rho(\eta)}{(\gamma^{\sigma(\lambda)})^{r+(\varepsilon-1)k}}$

and  $N^\rho(\eta)$  is a monomial in  $\eta^1, \dots, \eta^r$  of degree  $r + (\varepsilon - 1)k$  ( $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ ). As is stated in proposition 6 [2], we obtain holomorphic functions  $z_\lambda^j, t_\lambda^i, w_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon)$  on  $V'_\lambda$  which satisfy the following relations in addition to (\*).

$$\begin{cases} z_\lambda^j & - z_\mu^j & = (y_\lambda)^\ell f_{\lambda\mu}^j \\ t_\lambda^i & - e_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} t_\mu^i & = (y_\lambda)^\ell g_{\lambda\mu}^i \\ w_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon) & - k_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} e_{\lambda\mu}^{-\varepsilon} w_\mu^\rho(\varepsilon) & = (y_\lambda)^\ell h_{\lambda\mu}^\rho(\varepsilon) \end{cases} \quad \text{on } V'_\lambda \cap V'_\mu,$$

and  $\{f_{\lambda\mu}^j\} \in Z^1(\mathfrak{B}, O([S]^{-\ell}))$ ,  $\{g_{\lambda\mu}^i\} \in Z^1(\mathfrak{B}, O([S]^{-\ell-1}))$ ,  $\{h_{\lambda\mu}^\rho(\varepsilon)\} \in Z^1(\mathfrak{B}, O(K^{-1} \otimes [S]^{-\ell-\varepsilon}))$  ( $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ ). These 1-cocycles are coboundaries of  $C^\infty$  sections, so we can find  $C^\infty$  functions  $F_\lambda^j, G_\lambda^i, H_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon)$  on  $V'_\lambda$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda\mu}^j &= F_\lambda^j - e_{\lambda\mu}^{-\ell} F_\mu^j \\ g_{\lambda\mu}^i &= G_\lambda^i - e_{\lambda\mu}^{-\ell-1} G_\mu^i \\ h_{\lambda\mu}^\rho(\varepsilon) &= H_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon) - k_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} e_{\lambda\mu}^{-\ell-\varepsilon} H_\mu^\rho(\varepsilon) \quad (\varepsilon = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

hold on  $V'_\lambda \cap V'_\mu$ .

We set

$$\begin{cases} Z^j & = z_\lambda^j - (y_\lambda)^\ell F_\lambda^j \\ T_\lambda^i & = t_\lambda^i - (y_\lambda)^\ell G_\lambda^i \\ W_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon) & = w_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon) - (y_\lambda)^\ell H_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon), \end{cases}$$

then  $Z^j$  is a global  $C^\infty$  function on  $V'$ ,  $T_\lambda^i$  and  $W_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon)$  are  $C^\infty$  functions on  $V'_\lambda$  such that  $T_\lambda^i = e_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} T_\mu^i$ ,  $W_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon) = k_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} e_{\lambda\mu}^{-\varepsilon} W_\mu^\rho(\varepsilon)$  on  $V'_\lambda \cap V'_\mu$ .

$$\text{We set } A_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} |T_\lambda^i|^2 \text{ and } B_\lambda(\varepsilon) = \sum_{\rho} |W_\lambda^\rho(\varepsilon)|^2.$$

Since  $A_\lambda |y_\lambda|^2 = A_\mu |y_\mu|^2$  on  $V'_\lambda \cap V'_\mu$ ,

$$\psi = \phi(Z) + e^{\phi(Z)} A_\lambda |y_\lambda|^2$$

is a global  $C^\infty$  function on  $V'$ .

Since  $\tau_\lambda^1, \dots, \tau_\lambda^{i\sigma(\lambda)} \hat{=} 1, \dots, \tau_\lambda^{N+1}$  are all the monomials in  $\xi_\lambda^1, \dots, \xi_\lambda^{i\sigma(\lambda)} \hat{=} 1, \dots, \xi_\lambda^r$  of degree  $\leq k$  we can take  $t_\lambda^1, \dots, t_\lambda^{i\sigma(\lambda)} \hat{=} 1, \dots, t_\lambda^{N+1}$  to be all the monomials in  $x_\lambda^1, \dots, x_\lambda^{i\sigma(\lambda)} \hat{=} 1, \dots, x_\lambda^r$  of degree  $\leq k$ . Then we have (omitting the lower index  $\lambda$ )

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\beta} (\sum_i |T_\lambda^i|^2) = x^\beta (1 + P_\beta(x)) + y^\ell (\quad) + \bar{y}^\ell (\quad) + |y|^2 \ell (\quad),$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial \bar{x}^\beta} (\sum_i |T_\lambda^i|^2) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\beta}(x) + y^\ell (\quad) + \bar{y}^\ell (\quad) + |y|^2 \ell (\quad),$$

where  $x^\beta (1 + P_\beta(x)) = \sum_i t_i \frac{\partial t_i}{\partial \bar{x}^\beta}$  ( $\beta = 1, \dots, r$ ) and

$Q_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} (x^\beta P_\beta(x))$  ( $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, x$ ).  $P_\beta(x)$  and  $Q_{\alpha\beta}(x)$  are polynomials in  $x_{\lambda^1}, \dots, x_{\lambda^{\widehat{(\sigma\lambda)}}}, \dots, x_{\lambda^r}$  of degree  $2k-2$ .

Now we estimate the Levi form of  $\psi = \phi(Z) + e^{\phi(Z)} (\sum_i |T_{\lambda^i}|^2) |y_{\lambda^i}|^2$ . We consider in a fixed  $V'_{\lambda}$  and omit the index  $\lambda$ . Since we can take  $V'_{\lambda}$  smaller, we may assume  $\sum'_\alpha |x^\alpha|^2 < G$  for a large  $G > 0$ , here  $\alpha$  runs over  $1, \dots, \widehat{(\lambda)}, \dots, r$  in the sum  $\sum'_\alpha$ . We take  $\eta > 0$  so that  $\eta G < \frac{1}{4}$ . If  $l \geq 3$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^k} &= \delta_{jk} + 0(|y|^2), \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{y}} &= e^{\phi(Z)} (1 + \sum'_i |t^i|^2) \{y \bar{z}^j + 0(|y|^2)\}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y \partial \bar{y}} &= e^{\phi(Z)} (1 + \sum'_i |t^i|^2) + 0(|y|^2), \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{x}^\beta} &= e^{\phi(Z)} |y|^2 \{ \bar{z}^j x^\beta (1 + P_\beta(x)) + 0(|y|) \}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y \partial \bar{x}^\beta} &= e^{\phi(Z)} \bar{y} \{ x^\beta (1 + P_\beta(x)) + 0(|y|) \}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial \bar{x}^\beta} &= e^{\phi(Z)} |y|^2 \{ \delta_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\beta}(x) + 0(|y|) \}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $y = 0$  then the hermitian matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^k} & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{y}} & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{x}^\beta} \\ * & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y \partial \bar{y}} & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y \partial \bar{x}^\beta} \\ * & * & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial \bar{x}^\beta} \end{pmatrix} \text{ has the form}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_{jk} & & & \\ & e^{\phi(Z)} (1 + \sum'_i |t^i|^2) & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so this is positive semi-definite.

In the case of  $y \neq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + \sum'_i |t^i|^2)(dy, d\bar{y}) + \sum'_\alpha y \bar{x}^\alpha (1 + P_\alpha)(dx^\alpha, d\bar{y}) \\ &+ \sum'_\beta \bar{y} x^\beta (1 + P_\beta)(dy, d\bar{x}^\beta) + \sum'_{\alpha, \beta} |y|^2 (\delta_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\beta})(dx^\alpha, d\bar{x}^\beta) \\ &= |dy|^2 + \sum'_\alpha |x^\alpha dy + y dx^\alpha|^2 + \sum'_\alpha |x^\alpha|^2 |x^\alpha dy + 2y dx^\alpha|^2 + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum'_{\alpha < \beta} |x^\alpha x^\beta dy + yx^\beta dx^\alpha + yx^\alpha dx^\beta|^2 + \sum' |\dots|^2 + \dots + \dots \sum' |\dots|^2 \\
& \geq |dy|^2 + \sum'_\alpha |x^\alpha dy + ydx^\alpha|^2 \\
& = |dy|^2 + \sum'_\alpha \{ |(1+\eta)^{1/2} x^\alpha dy + (1+\eta)^{-1/2} y dx^\alpha|^2 - \eta |x^\alpha|^2 |dy|^2 + \\
& \quad (1 - \frac{1}{1+\eta}) |y|^2 |dx^\alpha|^2 \} \\
& \geq \{ 1 - \eta (\sum'_\alpha |x^\alpha|^2) \} |dy|^2 + |y|^2 \sum'_\alpha \frac{\eta}{1+\eta} |dx^\alpha|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take small  $|y| > 0$ , then  $1 - \eta(\sum'_\alpha |x^\alpha|^2) + 0(|y|^2) > \frac{2}{3}$  and the hermitian matrix  $(\frac{\eta}{1+\eta} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + 0(|y|))$  is positive definite, so the minimal eigen value  $\lambda$  of this matrix is positive. For the remaining terms in  $dy$  and  $\{dx^\alpha\}$  are estimated as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum'_\alpha e^{\phi(z)} y \bar{a}_\alpha (dx^\alpha, dy) + \sum'_\beta e^{\phi(z)} \bar{y} a_\beta (dy, d\bar{x}^\beta) \\
& \geq -(\sum'_\alpha |a_\alpha|) |dy|^2 - e^{\phi(z)} |y|^2 \sum'_\alpha (e^{\phi(z)} |a_\alpha|) |dx^\alpha|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_\alpha$  is a quantity of  $O(|y|)$  for each  $\alpha$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (dy \ dx^\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y \partial \bar{y}} & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y \partial \bar{x}^\beta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial \bar{y}} & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial \bar{x}^\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d\bar{y} \\ dx^\beta \end{pmatrix} \\
& \geq [e^{\phi(z)} \{ 1 - \eta (\sum'_\alpha |x^\alpha|^2) + O(|y|^2) \} - \sum'_\alpha |a_\alpha|] |dy|^2 + \\
& \quad e^{\phi(z)} |y|^2 [ \sum'_\alpha (\frac{\eta}{1+\eta} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + O(|y|)) (dx^\alpha, d\bar{x}^\beta) - \sum'_\alpha (e^{\phi(z)} |a_\alpha|) |dx^\alpha|^2 ] \\
& \geq \frac{7}{12} |dy|^2 + e^{\phi(z)} |y|^2 \sum'_\alpha \frac{\lambda}{2} |dx^\alpha|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

if  $|y| > 0$  is small enough. Similarly we can estimate the terms containing  $\{dz^j, dy\}$  and the terms containing  $\{dz^j, dx^\alpha\}$ ,

Then we can make

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{the Levi form of } \psi \geq \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{j=1}^m |dz^j|^2 + |dy|^2) \text{ for small } |y| > 0 \text{ and} \\
& \text{for small } \phi(z) = \sum_j |z^j|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that the Levi form of  $\psi$  is positive semidefinite on an open subset of  $V'$  where  $|y|$  and  $|z|$  are small enough.

We set  $C_\lambda(\epsilon) = B_\lambda(\epsilon) e^{\epsilon \psi}$ . Then  $\{C_\lambda(\epsilon)\}_\lambda$  is a "metric" on the fibres of the bundle  $K_V^{-1} \otimes [S]V^{\cdot-\epsilon}$  for  $\epsilon = 1, 2$ . If  $l \geq 3$  the coefficient matrix of the curvature form of this "metric" has the form

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} l \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^j \partial \bar{\xi}^k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\zeta^j|^2 \right) \\ l e^{\phi(\zeta)} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} |\tau^i|^2 \right) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^\alpha \partial \bar{\xi}^\beta} \log \left( \sum_p |\omega^p(\varepsilon)|^2 \right) \end{array} \right)$$

on  $S$  and this matrix is positive definite. Hence the bundle  $K_{V \cdot -1} \otimes [S]_{V \cdot -\varepsilon}$  is positive for small  $|y|$  ( $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ ).  $V = \{p \in V' \mid \psi(p) < \delta\}$  is relatively compact in  $V'$  for a small  $\delta > 0$ . So  $V$  is weakly 1-complete with respect to the  $C^\infty$  function  $\bar{\psi} = (1 - \frac{\psi}{\delta})^{-1}$  on  $V$  and the bundle  $K_{V \cdot -1} \otimes [S]_{V \cdot -\varepsilon}$  is positive for  $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ .

#### 4. Construction of $X$

For each  $a \in M$  we take a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $La$  as in theorem 2(k). Then we have  $V \cap S \approx D \times P^{r-1}$  and  $[S]_{V \cap S} = [e]^{-k}$ .

Exact sequences of sheaves:  $0 \rightarrow O([S]^{-1}) \rightarrow O_V \rightarrow O_{V \cap S} \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow O([S]^{-2}) \rightarrow O([S]^{-1}) \rightarrow O_{V \cap S}([S]_{V \cap S}^{-1}) \rightarrow 0$  induce long exact sequences of cohomology groups, then the restrictions  $\Gamma(V, O_V) \rightarrow \Gamma(V \cap S, O_{V \cap S})$  and  $\Gamma(V, O([S]^{-1})) \rightarrow \Gamma(V \cap S, O([e]^k))$  are surjective since  $H^1(V, O([S]^{-\varepsilon})) = 0$  ( $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ ) by theorem 1.

Hence there are extensions  $z^j \in \Gamma(V, O_V)$  of local coordinates  $\zeta^j$  on  $D$  (here we regard  $\zeta^j$  to be an element of  $\Gamma(D \times P, O)$ ) ( $j=1, \dots, m$ ) and extensions  $\{f_\lambda^i\}_\lambda \in \Gamma(V, O([S]^{-1}))$  of holomorphic cross-sections  $\{\tau_\lambda^i\}_\lambda \in \Gamma(V \cap S, O([e]^k))$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N+1$ ) (here we cover  $V$  By  $V'_\lambda$ 's). We have  $f^i = y_\lambda f_\lambda^i \in \Gamma(V, \mathfrak{F}(S))$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N+1$ ) by an isomorphism  $\Gamma(V, \mathfrak{F}(S)) \approx \Gamma(V, O([S]^{-1}))$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}(S)$  is the ideal sheaf of  $S$ .

We define a holomorphic map  $\Phi : V = \bigcup_\lambda V'_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{N+1}$  by  $\Phi(p) = ((z^1(p), \dots, z^m(p)), (f^1(p), \dots, f^{N+1}(p)), (f^1(p) : \dots : f^{N+1}(p)))$  for any  $p \in V$ .  $\Phi$  is of rank  $n$  at any point of  $La$  and  $\Phi(La) \approx (0) \times P^{r-1}$ . Therefore we can take a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $La$  and a neighbourhood  $D' \subset D$  of  $O \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that  $\Phi(W) = D' \times \tilde{K}$ .  $D' \times \tilde{E}$  is obtained by blowing up  $\Delta^* = D' \times K$  with centre  $\Gamma = D' \times (0)$ . By a biholomorphic homeomorphism  $p : D' \times \tilde{K} - D' \times T \approx D' \times K - D' \times (0)$  we have  $\Pi : W - S \approx \Delta^* - \Gamma$ . We can identify  $\Gamma$  with a neighbourhood of  $a$  in  $M$ .

We construct such  $Da'$ ,  $Wa$ ,  $\Delta a^*$ ,  $\Gamma a$  and  $\Pi a : Wa \rightarrow \Delta a^*$  for each  $a \in M$ , then we have  $\Pi a : Wa - S \approx \Delta a^* - \Gamma a$ ,  $S = \Pi a^{-1}(\Gamma a)$ ,  $\Pi a : S \rightarrow \Gamma a$  is a  $P^{r-1}$ -bundle,  $[S]_{L_b} = [e]^{-k}$  for any  $b \in Da'$ .

If  $Wa \cap Wb \neq \emptyset$  we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 Wa & \supset & Wa \cap Wb & \stackrel{id}{=} & Wa \cap Wb & \subset & Wb \\
 \Pi_a \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_b \\
 \Delta^*a \subset \Pi_a(Wa \cap Wb) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ab}} & \Pi_b(Wa \cap Wb) & \subset & \Delta^*b.
 \end{array}$$

Then a holomorphic mapping  $\phi_{ab} = \Pi_b \circ id \circ \Pi_a^{-1} : \Pi_a(Wa \cap Wb) - \Gamma_a \rightarrow \Pi_b(Wa \cap Wb) - \Gamma_b$  can be naturally extended to a continuous mapping  $\phi_{ab} : \Pi_a(Wa \cap Wb) \rightarrow \Pi_b(Wa \cap Wb)$  and this becomes a biholomorphic homeomorphism. Since  $\Delta^*$  is a normal analytic set of  $C^{m+n+1}$ ,  $\phi_{ab} : \Pi_a(Wa \cap Wb) \rightarrow \Pi_b(Wa \cap Wb)$  gives an isomorphism of complex spaces. Hence  $\{\Delta^*a\}$  and  $\{\Gamma_a\}$  can be patched together and form a complex space  $X^*$  and the singular locus of  $X^*$  respectively (the latter is an analytic subspace of  $X^*$  which is biholomorphic to  $M$ ). Since the open sets  $\bigcup_a Wa - S$  of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $X^* - M$  are biholomorphic by  $\Pi_a$  on each  $Wa - S$ , we can patch  $\tilde{X} - S$  and  $X^*$ , thus we obtain a complex space  $X$ .

$$\Pi = \begin{cases} id & \text{on } \tilde{X} - S \\ \Pi_a & \text{on } Wa \end{cases} \quad \text{is a holomorphic mapping from } \tilde{X} \text{ onto } X \text{ and we have}$$

$\Pi : \tilde{X} - S \approx X - M$ ,  $S = \Pi^{-1}(M)$ ,  $\Pi : S \rightarrow M$  is a  $P^{r-1}$ -bundle,  $[S]_{L^a} = [e]^{-k}$  for any  $a \in M \subset X$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### References

- [1] A. Fujiki - S. Nakano, *Supplement to "On the inverse of monoidal transformation"*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 7 (1971-72); 637-644.
- [2] S. Nakano, *On the inverse of monoidal transformation*, *ibid.*, 6 (1970-71), 483-502.
- [3] S. Nakano, *Vanishing theorems for weakly 1-complete manifolds II*, (to appear in Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 10 (1973-74)).