

Locally Trivial Fiber Spaces and Stiefel-Whitney Classes

メタデータ	言語: English 出版者: 琉球大学理工学部 公開日: 2012-06-07 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: Maehara, Ryuji, 前原, 竜二 メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/24620

Locally Trivial Fiber Spaces and Stiefel-Whitney Classes

Ryuji MAEHARA*

E. Fadell [3] generalized the notion of a plane bundle, and gave a definition of generalized tangent bundle τ_M for a topological manifold M . In this paper, we prove

Theorem Let $F \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a locally trivial fiber space such that F, B, E are topological manifolds. Then there exist generalized plane bundles ξ, η over E with the properties:

$$\tau_F = j^*(\eta), \quad \xi \simeq j^*(\tau_B) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_E \simeq \xi \oplus \eta$$

where $j^*(\eta), p^*(\tau_B)$ denote the generalized plane bundles induced from η, τ_B by j, p , respectively; \simeq denotes fiber homotopy equivalence; and \oplus denotes the Whitney sum.

Some consequences and applications of the theorem will be discussed in sections 4, 5.

1. Preliminaries

Consider the following commutative diagram of spaces and maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & F & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B \\
 (\xi) \quad & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\
 & F_0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} & B
 \end{array}$$

where the unlabelled arrows are inclusion maps and $F = p^{-1}(b_0)$, $F_0 = p_0^{-1}(b_0)$ ($b_0 \in B$). Such a diagram (denoted $\xi = (E, E_0, p, B)$) is called a (locally trivial) *fibred pair with fiber* (F, F_0) if for each point b in B we can find an open set U containing b and a homeomorphism of pairs

$$\phi : (U \times F, U \times F_0) \longrightarrow (p^{-1}(U), p_0^{-1}(U))$$

with the property $p \phi(b', x) = b'$. When E_0 is the empty subset of E , the above fibred pair reduces to a (locally trivial) fiber space $F \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$.

In a fibred pair $\xi = (E, E_0, p, B)$, suppose the base space B is paracompact. Then it is known that $p: E \rightarrow B$ and $p_0: E_0 \rightarrow B$ are Hurewicz fiber spaces. In fact, the map p admits a lifting function

$$\lambda : \{(z, \ell) \in E \times B^1 \mid p(z) = \ell(0)\} \longrightarrow E^1$$

such that $p \lambda(z, \ell) = \ell$ and if $z \in E_0$ then $\lambda(z, \ell)$ is a path in E_0 (where X^1 denotes

Received October 31, 1973

* Dept. of Math., Sci. & Eng. Div., Univ. of the Ryukyus

the space of paths in X with the compact-open topology). See [3].

Let $\xi = (E, E_0, p, B)$ and $\xi' = (E', E'_0, p', B)$ be fibered pairs with the same base space B . A map of fibered pairs $\alpha : \xi \rightarrow \xi'$ is a map $\alpha : (E, E_0) \rightarrow (E', E'_0)$ such that $p' \alpha = p$, i.e. α is fiber preserving. If $\beta : \xi \rightarrow \xi'$ is another map of fibered pairs, then $\alpha \approx \beta$ (read fiberwise homotopic) provided there exists a homotopy $h : (E, E_0) \times I \rightarrow (E', E'_0)$ such that $h(z, 0) = \alpha(z)$, $h(z, 1) = \beta(z)$ and $p' h(z, t) = p(z)$ for all $t \in I$. ξ and ξ' are said to be fiber homotopy equivalent if there are maps of fibered pairs $\xi \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha']{\alpha} \xi'$ such that $\alpha' \alpha \approx 1$ and $\alpha \alpha' \approx 1$.

Both α and α' will be called fiber homotopy equivalences.

Let ξ and ξ' be as in the preceding paragraph. The Whitney sum $\xi \oplus \xi'$ of ξ and ξ' is defined by $\xi \oplus \xi' = (\bar{E}, \bar{E}_0, \bar{p}, B)$ where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{E} = \{(z, z') \in E \times E' \mid p(z) = p'(z')\}, \\ \bar{E}_0 = [(E \times E'_0) \cup (E_0 \times E')] \cap \bar{E}, \\ \bar{p}(z, z') = p(z) (= p'(z')). \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that $\xi \oplus \xi'$ is a fibered pair.

A fibered pair $\xi = (E, E_0, p, B)$ with fiber (F, F_0) is called a *generalized p-plane bundle* (abbreviated *n-gpb*) if it satisfies the following properties:

- i) $p : E \rightarrow B$ admits a cross-section $s : B \rightarrow E$ (i.e., $ps = 1$) such that $E_0 = E - s(B)$,
- ii) $(F, F_0) \sim (R^n, R^n - o)$ where R^n is a Euclidean n -space, o is the origin of R^n , and \sim designates homotopy equivalence of pairs. If ξ is an m -gpb and if η is an n -gpb with the same base space as that of ξ , then $\xi \oplus \eta$ is an $(m+n)$ -gpb (see [3]).

An *n-manifold* is a connected paracompact space which is locally homeomorphic to Euclidean n -space R^n ($n \geq 1$). Given an n -manifold M , let

$$T_0(M) = \{\ell \in M^I \mid \ell(t) \neq \ell(o) \text{ for } 0 < t \leq 1\},$$

let $T(M)$ be the union of $T_0(M)$ and the constant paths on M , and give $T(M)$ the compact-open topology. Define $\pi : T(M) \rightarrow M$ by $\pi(\ell) = \ell(o)$. Then

$$\tau_M = (T(M), T_0(M), \pi, M)$$

is an n -gpb (see [3]). τ_M will be called the *tangent n-gpb* of M . If M possesses a differentiable structure and if we let (E, q, M) denote the tangent bundle of M and (E_0, q_0, M) the sub-bundle of non-zero vectors, then (E, E_0, q, M) is clearly an n -gpb. It is known that there exists a fiber homotopy equivalence $\tau_M \approx (E, E_0, q, M)$. See [3].

2. Two propositions.

Suppose $F \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ is a locally trivial fiber space. We define

$\xi = (H, H_0, \pi, E)$ and $\eta = (V, V_0, \pi, E)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\xi) \quad & \begin{cases} H_0 = \{ \ell \in E^1 \mid p\ell(o) \neq p\ell(t) \text{ for } o < t \leq 1 \} , \\ H = H_0 \cup \{ \text{constant paths in } E \} , \\ \pi(\ell) = \ell(o) ; \end{cases} \\
 (\eta) \quad & \begin{cases} V_0 = \{ \ell \in E^1 \mid \ell(o) \neq \ell(t) \text{ and } p\ell(o) = p\ell(t) \text{ for } o < t \leq 1 \} , \\ V = V_0 \cup \{ \text{constant paths in } E \} , \\ \pi(\ell) = \ell(o) . \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem stated in the beginning divides into the following two propositions :

Proposition 1. *With the above notations, if F is an n -manifold, then η is an n -gpb and $\tau_F = j^*(\eta)$ (=the n -gpb induced from η by j).*

Proposition 2. *If F is an n -manifold and B is an m -manifold, then ξ is an m -gpb and*

$$\xi \approx p^*(\tau_B), \quad \tau_E \approx \xi \oplus \eta.$$

In the proof of these propositions, the following elementary lemma will be needed. Let D^n denote the n -ball in Euclidean n -space R^n , i.e. $D^n = \{x \in R^n \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and let V^n denote the interior of D^n . If $k < n$ we may regard $R^k = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n \mid x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0 \}$ and hence $D^k \subset D^n$, $V^k \subset V^n$.

Lemme 3. (See [3, p.492]). *Let M be an n -manifold. Suppose U is an open set in M such that its closure \bar{U} is homeomorphic to the unit ball D^n with U corresponding to the interior V^n of D^n . For $k < n$, let $U^{(k)}$ be the subset of U which corresponds to the subset $V^k (\subset V^n)$. Finally, let $G(M)$ be the space of homeomorphisms of M with the compact-open topology. Then there exists a map*

$$r : U \times U \longrightarrow G(M)$$

satisfying the following properties:

- i) $r(a, b)(a) = b$,
- ii) $r(a, a) = 1$,
- iii) $r(b, c)r(a, b) = r(a, c)$,
- iv) $r(a, b)(z) = z$ for $z \in M - U$,
- v) if $a, b \in U^{(k)}$, $r(a, b)$ maps $U^{(k)}$ onto $U^{(k)}$.

3. Proof of the propositions.

Proof of proposition 1. Let $z_0 \in E$. Choose an open neighborhood U_1 of $p(z_0)$ in B for which there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : U_1 \times F \rightarrow p^{-1}(U_1)$ with the property $p\phi(b, x) = b$. This is possible because (E, p, B) is a locally trivial fiber space. When $\phi(b, x) = z$, we will write $x = q(z)$. Let $p(z_0) = b_0$ and $q(z_0) = x_0$, i.e., $\phi(b_0, x_0) = z_0$. Since F is an n -manifold, there is a neighborhood U_2 of x_0 with (U_2, U_2) homeomorphic to (D^n, V^n) . Let $W = \phi(U_1 \times U_2)$. Obviously,

W is a neighborhood of z_0 . We shall show the product structure of $\pi : (\pi^{-1}(W), \pi_0^{-1}(W)) \rightarrow W$.

Let (V', V'_0) be the fiber at z_0 , i. e., $(V', V'_0) = (\pi^{-1}(z_0), \pi_0^{-1}(z_0))$.

Define $\alpha : W \times (V', V'_0) \rightarrow (\pi^{-1}(W), \pi_0^{-1}(W))$ by

$$\alpha(z, \ell)(t) = \phi(pz, \gamma(x_0, qz)q\ell(t))$$

where $\gamma : U_2 \times U_2 \rightarrow G(F)$ is the map stated in Lemma 3. Clearly, α maps $W \times V'_0$ into $\pi_0^{-1}(W)$. To see the continuity of α , it suffices to see that $\gamma(x_0, qz)(q\ell(t))$ is continuous with respect to (z, ℓ, t) . But this follows from the continuity of γ and q and from the fact that the evaluation map $M^M \times M^I \times I \rightarrow M$ ($(q, w, t) \rightarrow qw(t)$) is continuous owing to the local compactness of M and I . That α is fiber preserving, i.e., $\pi \alpha(z, \ell) = z$ or equivalently $\alpha(z, \ell)(o) = z$, is easily verified. Now, define $\alpha' : \pi^{-1}(W) \rightarrow W \times V'$ by

$$\alpha'(\bar{\ell}) = (\bar{\ell}(o), (b_0, \gamma(q\bar{\ell}(o), x_0)q\bar{\ell})).$$

An easy calculation shows that α' is the inverse of α . Hence, α is a homeomorphism. Therefore, $\eta = (V, V_0, \pi, E)$ is a fibered pair. Note that η has the same fiber as the fiber of the tangent n -gpb of F . Thus η is an n -gpb. The last assertion $\tau_F = j^*(\eta)$ is clear.

Proof of Proposition 2. (1) First, we see the local product structure in $\xi = (H, H_0, \pi, E)$. For $z_0 \in E$, let $U_1 (\subset B)$, $U_2 (\subset F)$, $W (\subset E)$, ϕ, b_0, x_0 , and q be as in the preceding proof. We may assume (U_1, U_1) is homeomorphic to (D^m, V^m) since B is an m -manifold. Let $(H', H'_0) = (\pi^{-1}(z_0), \pi_0^{-1}(z_0)) (\subset (H, H_0))$. The object is to define a homeomorphism of pairs $\beta : W \times (H', H'_0) \rightarrow (\pi^{-1}(W), \pi_0^{-1}(W))$ with $\pi \beta = p_1$ (=the projection onto W).

We can find a homeomorphism $(W, W) \cong (D^{m+n}, V^{m+n})$ so that $W^{(n)} = p^{-1}(b_0) \cap W$ corresponds to $V^n (\subset V^{m+n})$. So, Lemma 3 gives us the map $\gamma_2 : W^{(n)} \times W^{(n)} \subset W \times W \rightarrow G(E)$. Note that $\gamma_2(a, b)(z) = z$ for $z \in E - W$ and $\gamma_2(a, b)$ maps $p^{-1}(b_0)$ onto $p^{-1}(b_0)$. On the other hand, since $(U_1, U_1) \cong (D^m, V^m)$, we have the map $\gamma_1 : U_1 \times U_1 \rightarrow G(B)$. Define $\Gamma : U_1 \times U_1 \rightarrow G(p^{-1}(U))$ by

$$\Gamma(b_1, b_2)(z) = \phi(\gamma_1(b_1, b_2)(pz), qz).$$

We can regard Γ as a map from $U_1 \times U_1$ into $G(E)$ by defining $\Gamma(b_1, b_2)(z) = z$ for $z \in E - p^{-1}(U)$.

Now define $\beta : W \times (H', H'_0) \rightarrow (\pi^{-1}(W), \pi_0^{-1}(W))$ by

$$\beta(z, \ell)(t) = \Gamma(b_0, p(z)) \gamma_2(z_0, \phi(b_0, qz)) \ell(t).$$

The continuity of β is guaranteed by the local compactness of E and I . β is fiber preserving; in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \beta(z, \ell) &= \beta(z, \ell)(o) = \Gamma(b_0, pz) \gamma_2(z_0, \phi(b_0, qz)) z_0 \\ &= \Gamma(b_0, pz) \phi(b_0, qz) \phi(pz, qz) = z. \end{aligned}$$

β is a homeomorphism since it has inverse β' given by $\beta'(\bar{\ell}) = (z, \ell)$ where

$$\begin{cases} z = \bar{\ell}(o) \\ \ell = r_2(\phi(b_o, q\bar{\ell}(o)), z_o) \Gamma(p\bar{\ell}(o), b_o) \bar{\ell}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, ξ has a local product structure.

(2) To see that $\xi = (H, H_o, \pi, E)$ is an m -gpb, define $S : E \rightarrow H$ by $S(z) = \bar{z}$ (the constant path at z). S is a cross-section, and $H_o = H - S(E)$ holds. All that remains is to show $(H', H_o') \sim (R^m, R^m - O)$. But this follows from [3, Prop. 4.1] because (H', H_o') is identical to the fiber of the "normal fiber space" of the imbedding $F \subset E$.

(3) Next, we show that $\xi \approx p^*(\tau_B)$. Let $\tau_B = (T(B), T_o(B), \pi', B)$ be the tangent m -gpb of B . Then, by definition, we have $p^*(\tau_B) = (\bar{H}, \bar{H}_o, p_1, E)$ where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{H} = \{ (z, \ell) \in E \times T(B) \mid p(z) = \ell(o) \}, \\ \bar{H}_o = \{ (z, \ell) \in E \times T_o(B) \mid p(z) = \ell(o) \} = \bar{H} \cap (E \times T_o(B)), \\ p_1(z, \ell) = z. \end{cases}$$

Let λ be a lifting function of the fiber space (E, p, B) such that if ℓ is the constant path at $p(z)$ then $\lambda(z, \ell)$ is the constant path at z . We define maps of pairs

$$(\bar{H}, \bar{H}_o) \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} (H, H_o) \text{ by } \begin{cases} f = \lambda, \text{ i.e., } f(z, \ell)(t) = \lambda(z, \ell)(t), \\ g(\bar{\ell}) = (\bar{\ell}(o), p\bar{\ell}). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that f and g are fiber preserving, i.e., $\pi f = \pi_1$ and $p_1 g = \pi$. Furthermore, since $gf(z, \ell) = g(\lambda(z, \ell)) = (\lambda(z, \ell)(o), p\lambda(z, \ell)) = (z, \ell)$, we have $gf = 1$. Now, let us show $fg \approx 1$. For a path $w \in E^I$ and $s \in I$, let w_s denote the path given by

$$w_s(t) = \begin{cases} w(s+t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1-s \\ w(1), & 1-s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We define a homotopy $h : (H, H_o) \times I \rightarrow (H, H_o)$ by

$$h(w, s)(t) = \lambda(w(t(1-s)), pw_t(1-s))(st).$$

h is a fiberwise homotopy since

$$\pi h(w, s) = h(w, s)(o) = \lambda(w(o), pw_o)(o) = w(o) = \pi(w).$$

h is a homotopy between 1 and $f g$; in fact,

$$h(w, o)(t) = \lambda(w(t), pw_t)(o) = w(t), \text{ i.e., } h(w, o) = w, \text{ and}$$

$$h(w, 1)(t) = \lambda(w(o), pw_o)(t) = \lambda(w(o), pw)(t) = f g(w)(t), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$h(w, 1) = f g(w)$. Hence, $fg \approx 1$. This proves $\xi \approx p^*(\tau_B)$.

(4) The proof of the final assertion $\tau_E \approx \xi \oplus \eta$ is essentially same as the argument in the proof of [3, Theorem 4.11], and hence, is omitted.

4. Characteristic classes.

Let $\xi = (E, E_0, p, B)$ be a fibered pair with fiber (F, F_0) . Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(B, b_0)$ acts on $H_*(F, F_0; G)$. If this action is trivial, ξ is called a G -orientable fibered pair. An n -manifold M is said to be G -orientable when its tangent n -gpb τ_M is G -orientable as a fibered pair.

Let Λ denote a commutative ring with unit.

Theorem 4 (See Fadell [3]). *If (E, E_0, p, B) is a Λ -orientable n -gpb with fiber (F, F_0) , then there exists an element $U \in H^n(E, E_0; \Lambda)$ satisfying the following properties:*

i) *The inclusion map $j : (F, F_0) \rightarrow (E, E_0)$ induces an isomorphism $j^* : H^n(E, E_0; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^n(F, F_0; \Lambda)$, and if we identify $H^n(F, F_0; \Lambda)$ with Λ , U corresponds to the unit of Λ under j^**

ii) *The homomorphism defined by cup product,*

$$\cup U : H^i(E; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^{i+n}(E, E_0; \Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism for every i .

U is called a Λ -orientation of the n -gpb, and from property (i), it is determined uniquely by the choice of identification $\Lambda = H^n(F, F_0; \Lambda)$. Now, note that $p^* : H^i(B; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^i(E; \Lambda)$ is an isomorphism since the total fiber F is contractible. Hence, from (ii), the composition

$$\phi : H^i(B; \Lambda) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^i(E; \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cup U} H^{i+n}(E, E_0; \Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism. ϕ is the Thom isomorphism associated to U . The Euler class $X(\xi)$ of the n -gpb ξ is defined by

$$X(\xi) = \phi^{-1}(U \cup U) \in H^n(B; \Lambda).$$

When $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (= the ring of integers mod 2), the Stiefel-Whitney classes $W_i(\xi)$ ($i=0, 1, 2, \dots$) of ξ are defined by

$$W_i(\xi) = \phi^{-1} S_i^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(U) \in H^i(B; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

where $S_i^{\mathbb{Z}_2} : H^n(E, E_0; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(E, E_0; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denotes the i -th Steenrod operation. Note that in defining $W_i(\xi)$ we do not need to worry about the orientability of ξ since every n -gpb is \mathbb{Z}_2 -orientable.

Characteristic classes $X(\xi)$, $W_i(\xi)$ satisfy the naturality property in the following sense : Let $f : B' \rightarrow B$ be a map and let $f^* \xi$ be the n -gpb induced from ξ by f ; then

$$X(f^* \xi) = f^* X(\xi), \quad W_i(f^* \xi) = f^* W_i(\xi).$$

Furthermore, characteristic classes are invariances of fiber homotopy equivalence; that means, $\xi \simeq \xi'$ implies

$$X(\xi) = X(\xi') \text{ and } W_i(\xi) = W_i(\xi').$$

Therefore, Proposition 1 gives the following corollary. The fact stated there was recently proved by Gottlieb [4] in a slightly different method.

Corollary 5 (to Proposition 1). *Let $F \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a locally trivial fiber space such that F is an n -manifold. Then*

$$W_k(\tau_F) \in j^* H^k(E; Z_2), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

If F is orientable (ie, Z -orientable) and $\pi_1(E) = 0$, then

$$X(\tau_F) \in j^* H^n(E; Z).$$

The author does not know whether or not the above corollary remains valid for a Hurewicz fiber space $F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow B$ instead for a locally trivial fiber space.

Example Let $P^{2n} \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a locally trivial fiber space where P^{2n} is the real projective space of dimension $2n$. Then $j^* : H^k(E, Z_2) \rightarrow H^k(P^{2n}, Z_2)$ is onto for every k .

Proof The cohomology ring $H^*(P^{2n}, Z_2)$ is generated by the unique nonzero element u in $H^1(P^{2n}, Z_2)$. u is the first Stiefel-Whitney class of P^{2n} (see [5]) and hence, u is in the image of j^* .

Proposition 2 gives

Corollary 6. *Let $F \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a locally trivial fiber space such that both B and F are (topological) manifolds. Then*

$$W_k(\tau_F) = j^* W_k(\tau_E), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \dim F.$$

If $\pi_1(E) = 0$, $X(\tau_E)$ is a decomposable element.

Proof Proposition 2 says:

$$\tau_E \cong \xi \oplus \eta, \quad \tau_F = j^*(\eta), \quad \xi \cong p^*(\tau_B).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} W_k(\tau_E) &= W_k(\xi \oplus \eta) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k W_i(\xi) \cup W_{k-i}(\eta) \quad (\text{see [3], Theorem 6.11}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k p^* W_i(\tau_B) \cup W_{k-i}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} j^* W_k(\tau_E) &= \sum_{i=0}^k j^* p^* W_i(\tau_B) \cup j^* W_{k-i}(\eta) \\ &= W_0(\tau_B) \cup j^* W_k(\eta) \quad (\text{since } pj=0) \\ &= j^* W_k(\eta) = W_k(\tau_F). \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion follows from

$$X(\tau_E) = X(\xi \oplus \eta) = X(\xi) \cup X(\eta).$$

The assumption $\pi_1(E) = 0$ guarantees the orientability of τ_E , ξ , η .

Example Let $F \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be as in Corollary 6. If E is orientable then so is F .

Proof In general, a (topological) manifold M is orientable if and only if $W_1(\tau_M) = 0$ (see [6, p. 349]). Corollary 6 says $W_1(\tau_F) = j^* W_1(\tau_E)$. Hence, $W_1(\tau_E) = 0$ implies $W_1(\tau_F) = 0$.

5. Applications

Let K be a field. For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we will use the following notations.

Notation

$Im f^* =$ the image of $f^*: H^*(Y, K) \rightarrow H^*(X, K)$.

$(Im f^*)' = \{x \in H^*(X, K) \mid x \cup y = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } y \text{ in } Im f^*\}$.

Let PB be the space of paths in B starting at b_0 . The path fibration $\pi: PB \rightarrow B$ is the map defined by $\pi(\ell) = \ell(1)$. If $f: Y \rightarrow B$ is a map, f induces a fibration (= Hurewicz fiber space) $q: X \rightarrow Y$;

$$\begin{cases} X = \{(y, \ell) \in Y \times PB \mid f(y) = \ell(1)\}, \\ q(y, \ell) = y. \end{cases}$$

The fiber of q at $y_0 (\in f^{-1}(b_0))$ is $y_0 \times \Omega B$, which we shall identify with ΩB (the loop space of B at b_0). A fibration, as above, which is induced from a path fibration will be called a *principal fibration*.

Lemma 7. Let $\Omega B \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{q} Y$ be a principal fibration. Then $x \in (Im q^*)'$ implies $i^*(x) = 0$.

Proof Define $m: \Omega B \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$m(\lambda, (y, \ell)) = (y, \lambda * \ell)$$

where $\lambda * \ell$ denotes the product path. Observe that if λ_0 is the constant path at b_0 then the map $(y, \lambda_0) \rightarrow m(\lambda_0, (y, \ell))$ is homotopic to the identity map of X , and the map $\lambda \rightarrow m(\lambda, (y_0, \lambda_0))$ is homotopic to the inclusion map $i: \Omega B \rightarrow X$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega B \times X & \xrightarrow{1 \times q} & \Omega B \times Y \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow p_r \\ X & \xrightarrow{q} & Y \end{array}$$

where p_r is the projection. Clearly the diagram is commutative. Now, from the above observation on m , we can write

$$m^*(x) = i^*(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum x' \otimes x'' \in H^*(\Omega B, K) \otimes H^*(X, K)$$

where $0 < \deg x' < \deg x$. On the other hand, since $x \in (Im q^*)'$, there is a nonzero element $y \in Im q^*$ with $x \cup y = 0$. Let $y = q^*(z)$ for $z \in H^*(Y, K)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } m^*(y) &= m^* q^*(z) = (1 \times q)^* p_r^*(z) = (1 \times q)^*(1 \otimes z) \\ &= 1 \otimes q^*(z) = 1 \otimes y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} o &= m^*(x \cup y) = m^*(x) \cup m^*(y) \\ &= (i^*(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \sum x' \otimes x'') \cup (1 \otimes y) \\ &= i^*(x) \otimes y + 1 \otimes xy + \sum x' \otimes x'' y \\ &= i^*(x) \otimes y + \sum x' \otimes x'' y. \end{aligned}$$

The assumption on $\deg x'$ implies $i^*(x) \otimes y = o$, and hence $i^*(x) = o$.

Theorem 8 Let $F \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a fiber space. Let $f: X \rightarrow F$ be a map with $jf \simeq O$. Then $x \in (Im j^*)'$ implies $f^*(x) = o$.

Proof It is well-known that the fiber inclusion map j factors as $F \xrightarrow{h} \bar{F} \xrightarrow{q} E$, where h is a homotopy equivalence and q is a principal fibration with fiber ΩB ($\bar{F} \xrightarrow{q} E$ is the fibration induced by p from the path fibration over B ; for example, see [2]). By assumption, $O \simeq jf = qhf$; hence, hf factors through ΩB in the homotopy sense; more precisely there is a map $k: X \rightarrow \Omega B$ such that the left triangle in the following diagram commutes in the homotopy sense.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega B & \xrightarrow{i} & \bar{F} & \xrightarrow{q} & E \\ & & \uparrow h & & \uparrow j \\ & & F & & \\ & \nwarrow k & \uparrow f & & \\ & & X & & \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that $x \in (Im j^*)'$ comes from some $x' \in (Im q^*)'$ via h^* . Thus

$$f^*(x) = f^* h^*(x') = k^* i^*(x') = o$$

since $i^*(x') = o$ by the preceding lemma.

Let M be a manifold. Let G be the space of homeomorphisms of M onto itself and G_0 the subspace consisting of such homeomorphisms that do not move the base point x_0 of M . Then the evaluation map $w: G \rightarrow M (w(g) = g(x_0))$ is a locally trivial fiber space with fiber $w^{-1}(x_0) = G_0$. The local product structure in $w: G \rightarrow M$ can be easily shown by using Lemma 3.

Recall now the following fact ([1, p. 55]): *There is a locally trivial fiber space $M \xrightarrow{j} B_{G_0} \xrightarrow{p} B_G$ with $jp \simeq O$.* We have information about the image of $j^*: H^*(B_{G_0}, Z_2) \rightarrow H^*(M, Z_2)$ (Corollary 5). Thus Theorem 8 gives some results on the evaluation map $w: G \rightarrow M$.

Example If M is nonorientable, then

$$w^* = O: H^n(M, Z_2) \rightarrow H^n(G, Z_2)$$

where $n = \dim M$.

Proof If M is nonorientable, there is the nonzero element $W_1(\tau_M)$ in the image of $j^* : H^1(BG_0, Z_2) \rightarrow H^1(M, Z_2)$. Hence, $H^n(M, Z_2) \subset (Im j^*)' \subset \ker w^*$.

Example (Gottlieb [4]). *If M is compact and its Euler-Poincare number is odd, then*

$$w^* = 0 : H^k(M, Z_2) \rightarrow H^k(G, Z_2)$$

for every $k > 0$.

Proof The hypothesis implies $W_n(\tau_M) \neq 0$ ($n = \dim M$). See [6, p. 348]. $W_n(\tau_M)$ is in $Im j^*$. Hence, $H^k(M, Z_2) \subset (Im j^*)' \subset \ker w^*$.

Proposition 9. *Let M be a compact triangulated manifold with odd Euler-Poincare number. Let $M \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a locally trivial fiber space. Then $H^k(M, Z_2) \neq 0$ ($k \neq 0$) implies $i|_{M^{k+1}} \neq 0$, where M^{k+1} denotes the $(k+1)$ -skeleton.*

Proof Similar to the preceding example. If $i|_{M^{k+1}} = 0$, the homomorphism $H^k(M, Z_2) \rightarrow H^k(M^{k+1}, Z_2)$ would be trivial.

References

1. A. Borel, *Topics in the homotopy theory of fibre bundles*, Lecture notes in mathematics # 36, Springer, 1967.
2. B. Eckmann and P. J. Hilton, *Operators and cooperators in homotopy theory*, Math. Annalen 141 (1960), 1-12.
3. E. Fadell, *Generalized normal bundles for locally flat imbeddings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 114 (1965), 488-513.
4. D. H. Gottlieb, *Homology tangent bundles and universal bundles*, Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 246-252.
5. J. Milnor, *Lectures on characteristic classes*, Princeton University, 1957.
6. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.