琉球大学学術リポジトリ

上腕骨近位端骨折の手術成績: 順行性髄内釘とロッキングプレートの比較

メタデータ	言語:
	出版者: 琉球大学
	公開日: 2019-04-10
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: Goya, Isoya, 呉屋, 五十八
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/44107

1	Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the study population	
2		
3	Table 2 Active range of motion in forward flexion and external rotation in the N and P group	
4	disaggregated by the type of fracture	
5	The external rotation of two-part fractures in the antegrade intramedullary nails group was	
6	significantly better than that of those in the locking plates group	
7		
8	Table 3 Active range of motion in forward flexion and external rotation post operation in the N	
9	and P groups disaggregated by the type of fracture and various age subgroups	
10	For patients aged 65-74 years, the external rotation of three- or four-part fractures in the	
11	antegrade intramedullary nails group was significantly better than that of those in the locking	
12	plates group.	
13	Table 4 Postoperative complications	
14	One patient with a three-part fracture in the locking plates developed nonunion. Avascular necrosis wa	
15	more likely to occur in patients with four-part fractures. Varus deformity frequently occurred in	
16	patients with two-part fractures in the locking plates group.	
17		
18	Table 5 Advantages and disadvantages of the antegrade intramedullary nails and locking plate	
19		
20	Figure 1 70-year-old female who fell over and was suffered from a two-part proximal humerus	
21	fracture. Intramedurally nail fixation was performed.	
22	(a) preoperative radiograph	
23	(b) preoperative 3D computed tomography	
24	(c) postoperative radiograph (13 months after antegrade intramedullary nails fixation was performed)	
25		

55-year-old male who fell from height and was suffered from a four-part proximal 1 Figure 2 $\mathbf{2}$ humerus fracture. Locking plate fixation was performed. 3 (a) preoperative radiograph 4 (b) preoperative 3D computed tomography (c) postoperative radiograph (16 months after after locking plate fixation was performed) 5 6 7 Figure 3A Active postoperative range of motion in forward flexion in patients with two-part 8 fractures in the Antegrade intramedullary nail group (N group) and Locking plate group (P group) 9 disaggregated by various age groups 10 In the N group, forward flexion was better in those aged <65 years than in those aged ≥65 11 years. 12 13 Figure 3B Active postoperative range of motion in forward flexion in patients with three- and four-part fractures in the nail group (N group) and Locking plate group (P group) disaggregated 14 15 by various age groups 16 In the N group, forward flexion was better in patients aged 65–74 years than in patients aged 17 ≥75 year. In the P group, forward flexion was better in patients aged <65 years than in 18 patients aged ≥ 75 years. 19 20 Figure 4A Active postoperative range of motion in external rotation in patients with two-part 21fractures in the nail group (N group) and Locking plate group (P group) by age groups 22No significant differences were observed in the N and P groups among patients of different age groups. 2324Figure 4B Active postoperative range of motion in external rotation in patients with three- and 25 four-part fractures in the in the nail group (N group) and Locking plate group (P group)

1 disaggregated by age groups

- 2 In the N group, external rotation was better in patients aged 65–74 years than in patients
- 3 aged \geq 75 years. In the P group, external rotation was better in patients aged <65 years than in
- 4 patients aged \geq 65 years.

5