琉球大学学術リポジトリ

[原著] P815 Tumor Cells Express an Intrinsic Absolute Dominant Tumor Specific Transplantation Antigen (TSTA) That Functions in Immune Regulation

メタデータ	言語:
	出版者: 琉球医学会
	公開日: 2010-07-02
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En): minor histocompatibility antigen,
	tumor specific transplantation antigen, cytotoxic T
	lymphocytes, bone marrow cells, dominant antigen
	作成者: Koja, Shizuo, Tanabe, MasaoJ
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/0002015874

P815 Tumor Cells Express an Intrinsic Absolute Dominant Tumor Specific Transplantation Antigen (TSTA) That Functions in Immune Regulation

Shizuo Koja* and Masao J. Tanabe**

*Department of Otorhinolaryngology and **Department of Bacteriology, Faculty of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus

(Received on November 2nd 1992, accepted on January 27th 1993)

Key words : minor histocompatibility antigen, tumor specific transplantation antigen, cytotoxic T lymphocytes, bone marrow cells, dominant antigen

ABSTRACT

As we have reported¹, Thy.1⁻ bone marrow cells passed through nylon wool (NW-BM) augmented the generation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) against minor histocompatibility (mH) antigens in primary mixed lymphocyte cultures (MLC). This study showed that when DBA/2 (H-2^d) responder lymph node (LN) cells were stimulated with syngeneic P815 (H-2^d, DBA/2 origin) tumor cells in the presence of DBA/2 helper NW-BM cells, the CTL specific for the tumor specific transplantation antigen (TSTA) of P815 tumor cells were induced easily in primary cultures *in vitro*.

We also showed that the CTL from Balb/c (H-2^d) or B10D2 (H-2^d) responder cells stimulated with DBA/2 spleen cells killed DBA/2 Con A blast cells but not P815 tumor cells. Since the CTL recognized the absolute dominant mH antigen of DBA/2 lymphoid cells according to the previous report¹⁰, P815 tumor cells had lost this antigen. On the other hand, the CTL from Balb/c or B10D2 responder cells stimulated with allogeneic P815 tumor cells lysed P815, but not DBA/2 target cells.

Thus, P815 tumor cells expressed the intrinsic absolute dominant antigen, which was absent in DBA/2 lymphoid cells.

INTRODUCTION

The frequency of tumor incidence is repressed by immune surveillance²⁾. It was thought that T cells, natural killer (NK) cells, lymphokine activated killer (LAK) cells and macrophages contributed to this effect. If NK cells played the major role in immune surveillance, the tumor cells should become NK-resistant since they progressed by overcoming the selection by NK cells in vivo. In particular, the progressor variants that arose spontaneously from regressor fibrosarcoma cells which were induced in mice by ultraviolet radiation, were NK sensitive, indicating that NK cells did not have the major role in immune surveillance". Peripheral blood cells activated with IL-2 in vitro generate LAK cells⁴. Cancer cells seem to be universally susceptible to killing by LAK cells in vitro. Thus, LAK cells did not act as selective pressure against tumor progression. A tumor necrosis factor produced by macrophages mediates their tumoricidal activity". Since a normal host could kill inoculated cancer cells which were resistant to activated macrophages", macrophages were not major participants in immune surveillance.

The T cell mediated immune response, which plays an important role in the protection of humans and animals against virally induced or virus associated malignancies^{7,8)}, does not protect against spontaneous and chemical-or physical-carcinogen induced progressive tumors in normal mice^{7,9)}. Although the mechanisms that protect against such tumors remain largely unknown, it cannot be ruled out that these tumor cells have active antigens that stimulate the T cell mediated immune response. Evidence for the existence of tumor specific antigens on murine tumors was initially shown by the acquisition of resistance to syngeneic or auto methylcholanthrene-induced tumors following immunization with these tumor cells^{10,11}. Later, similar antigens were proven for some spontaneous and some physical-carcinogen induced tumors $\overset{12,13)}{.}$

In this manuscript, we reported that Thy.17 NW-BM cells helped to induce the CTL from DBA/2 (H-2^d) responder cells, which were specific for the tumor specific transplantation antigen (TSTA), in primary cultures by the stimulation with syngeneic P815 (H-2^d, DBA/2 origin) tumor cells. We also showed that the CTL from Balb/c (H-2^d) or B10D2 (H-2^d) responder cells stimulated with allogeneic P815 tumor cells killed P815 tumor cells but not DBA/2 Con A blast cells even if DBA/2 lymphoid cells and P815 tumor cells had many mH antigens in On the contrary, when stimulated common. with DBA/2 spleen cells, the CTL from Balb/c or B10D2 responder cells killed DBA/2 Con A blast cells but not P815. Thus, these data suggested according to the previous report¹¹ that P815 tumor cells lost the absolute dominant mH antigen expressed on DBA/2 lymphoid cells and possessed an intrinsic absolute dominant antigen that was not present on the DBA/2 lymphoid cells. We discuss the role of this antigen in regard to the regulation of tumor immunity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

We used 8- to 16-week-old male and female mice of inbred strains, B10BR (H-2^k), B10D2 (H-2^d), Balb/c (H-2^d) and DBA/2 (H-2^d), bred in the animal facility at the School of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan.

Tumor cells

Methylcholanthrene induced mastocytoma, P815, originated from DBA/2 mice were used. Raji cells, which were natural killer cell resistant and lymphokine activated killer cell sensitive, were also used.

Mixed lymphocyte cultures (MLC)

The procedures are described elsewhere in detail". In brief, cells from lymph nodes (LN) (inguinal, axillary, and brachial) or spleens were suspended in standard culture medium (α -minimum essential medium [a -MEM]) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 10 mM HEPES buffer, and 5×10^{-5} M 2-mercaptoethanol. Femur bone marrow (BM) cell suspensions were obtained by passing them through syringe needles. Two milliliters (2.5x10⁷ cells/ml) of BM cell suspensions were loaded onto a nylon wool After incubation for 45 minutes at column. 37℃ nonadherent cells were eluted, washed once by centrifugation, resuspended in the culture medium as described previously¹⁴⁾ and then referred to as NW-BM cells. Several doses of LN cells (responder cells), NW-BM cells (helper cells"), and mitomycin-c treated DBA/2 spleen cells (3x10⁵ cells/well) or P815 mastocytoma cells (3x10⁴ cells/well) (stimulator cells) were co-cultured in microculture wells containing 0.2 ml of culture medium supplemented with several doses of rat T cell growth factor (TCGF) as described previously¹⁾. Control cultures, from which the spontaneous value was obtained, did not contain responder LN cells. These cultures were incubated for 5 days at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO, in air.

Antiserum

Cells were incubated with anti-Thy.1.2 or anti-Lyt.2 monoclonal antibody for 30 minutes at 4°C, washed once with cytotoxicity medium (Cedarlane Laboratories), and incubated in a water bath with rabbit complement (Cedarlane Laboratories), at a final dilution of 1:10, for 45 minutes at 37°C. Cells were then washed three times with culture medium.

Cytotoxicity assay

After five days in culture, MLC were assayed for cytotoxic activity using ⁵¹Cr-label-

led concanavalin A (Con A) blast target spleen cells $(1x10^{3} \text{ cells/well})$ or tumor cells $(1x10^{3} \text{ cells})$ cells/well), as previously described¹⁵⁾. The contents of each microculture well were divided into two portions. Target cells from stimulator strains were added to one well, and different target cells, not from the stimulator strains, were added to the other. The purpose of these studies was to test whether the CTL were specific only for the stimulator. After four hours in culture, specific ⁵¹Cr release, p, was defined as observed counts minus spontaneous counts / total releasable counts minus spontaneous counts. Each experimental group contained 32 replicate cultures. Test wells were scored as positive if their counts were greater than the mean spontaneous value by more than 2 standard deviations (p $\langle 0.05 \rangle$).

RESULTS

The induction of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) specific for minor histocompatibility (mH) antigens

A limited number of LN cells from DBA/2 mice (H-2^d, DBA/2 background) as responder cells were cultured with mitomycin-c treated spleen cells from B10D2 mice (H-2^d, B10 background) as stimulator cells. An excess of NW-BM cells, treated with anti-Thy.1.2 monoclonal antibody and complement, from DBA/2 mice as helper cells and a minimum required dose of TCGF were also added and incubated for five days in microculture wells. In these cultures responder LN cells were so limited in number that helper T cells were almost completely diluted out and could not make contact with CTL precursors. In most experiments in this report, control cultures which contained no NW-BM cells were prepared. In those cultures no CTL was induced from responder LN cells (data not shown). As reported previously¹⁵, substituting for helper T cells, NW-BM cells augmented the generation of allo major histocompatibility complex (MHC) specific CTL. We determined whether the NW-BM cells could help the generation of CTL specific for mH antigens.

Cells in each well were divided into two at five days of culture. Into one well B10D2 target cells, identical to stimulator cells, were added. Into the other, Balb/c (H- 2^d , Balb/c background) target cells were added. The CTL were induced easily in primary cultures *in vitro* and they were specific for the mH antigens (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. The mH antigen specific CTL were induced by the helper effect of NW-BM cells in primary cultures in vitro. DBA/2 LN cells $(3x10^3 \text{ cells/well})$, mitomycin-c treated B10D2 spleen cells (3x10⁵ cells/well) and DBA/2 Thy.1⁻ NW-BM cells (3x10⁴ cells/well) were cocultured in 32 replicate culture wells supplemented with 0.33 units/ml of rat TCGF. Duplicate sets of these cultures were prepared. In one set, each well was split into two wells after 5 days in culture, then B10D2 Con A blast target cells were added to one well and Balb/c Con A blast target cells were added to the other. Only the positive wells were plotted according to MATERIALS AND METHODS. The broken lines show two standard deviations (p < 0.05) of the spontaneous lysis value. In the other set of cultures (parallel cultures) B10BR target cells were added, but no lysis was detected in any wells.

Parallel cultures (32 wells) were performed with the same protocol. The CTL induced in these cultures did not lyse B10BR (H-2^k, B10 background) target cells as described in Fig. 1. Thus, CTL recognized the mH antigen restricted by self-MHC. The CTL were detected in 17 out of 32 wells and they lysed B10D2 but not Balb/c target cells. These results coincided with those reported elsewhere $\frac{1}{2}$. According to that report, these CTL recognized only the Xchromosome linked gene product, the Xir antigen, from B10D2 mice and ignored all other mH antigens. Thus, the Xir antigen was the absolute dominant antigen because it was the only stimulus despite stimulation across broad non-H-2-coded genetic differences. In these cultures inducing mH specific CTL, NW-BM cells also acted as helper cells, as reported previously for allo-MHC specific CTL induction.

Anomalous CTL were induced in one out of 32 wells. These CTL were anomalous killer cells since they killed third party Balb/c but not B10D2 target cells. This could be explained if the CTL were specific to the common mH antigens in both strains. However, they recognized the mH antigen that was absent in stimulator cells.

The induction of CTL specific for tumor specific transplantation antigen (TSTA)

We studied whether the CTL specific for TSTA could be induced in primary cultures *in vitro*, using the method shown in Fig. 1. A limited number of DBA/2 LN responder cells were cultured with mitomycin-c treated P815 tumor cells ($H-2^4$, DBA/2 origin) as stimulators.

Thy.1⁻ NW-BM helper cells from DBA/2 mice and a minimum required dose of TCGF were also added at the initiation of cultures.

After five days of culture, cytolytic activity was tested against both DBA/2 Con A blasts and P815 tumor target cells. The CTL specific for the self tumor, P815, were induced easily in our primary cultures *in vitro*. About 30% of the wells were positive for cytolysis (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. The CTL specific to the TSTA of P815 tumor cells were induced in primary culture in vitro. The experiment was carried out the same as for Fig. 1. DBA/2 LN cells (3x10³ cells/well), mitomycin-c treated P815 (3x10⁴ tumor cells cells/well) and DBA/2 Thy.1 NW-BM cells (3x10⁴ cells/well) were co-cultured. Wells were supplemented with 0.25 units/ml of rat TCGF. P815 tumor and DBA/2 Con A blast cells were used as target cells, and positive wells were plotted.

There was, however, the possibility that P815 tumor cells were lysed by non-specific killer cells induced in these cultures, such as NK cells or LAK cells. As P815 tumor cells were NK resistant but LAK sensitive¹⁷, the pos-

sibility of cytolysis by NK cells was negated. Cytolysis by LAK cells was excluded because LAK sensitive Raji target cells were not killed by the CTL which were specific to P815 tumor cells in 7 out of 32 wells (Fig. 4) and because Balb/c Con A blasts, that were sensitive to LAK cells, were not killed by these CTL (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. The CTL specific to the TSTA of P815 tumor cells did not lyse Balb/c Con A blast target cells, which were NK resistant and LAK sensitive. Experimental procedures are described in the legend to DBA/2 LN cells (3x10³ Fig. 1. cells/well), mitomycin-c treated P815 tumor cells $(3x10^4 \text{ cells/well})$ and DBA/2 Thy.1⁻ NW-BM cells (3x10⁴ cells/well) were co-cultured and supplemented with 0.33 units/ml of rat TCGF. P815 tumor and Balb/c Con A blast cells were used as target cells, and positive wells were plotted.

P815 tumor cells lost the absolute dominant antigen expressed on DBA/2 lymphoid cells

As shown in Fig. 1, the mH specific CTL were easily induced in these culture systems. We next examined the presence of mH antigens



Fig. 4. The CTL specific to the TSTA of P815 tumor cells did not lyse Raji cells, which were NK resistant and LAK sensitive. The experiment was performed as described in the legend to Fig. 1. DBA/2 LN cells $(3x10^3 \text{ cells/well})$, mitomycin-c treated P815 tumor cells $(3x10^{4})$ cells/well) and DBA/2 Thy,1⁻ NW-BM cells (3x10⁴ cells/well) were co-cultured and supplemented with 0.25 units/ml of rat TCGF. P815 tumor cells and Raji cells were used as target cells.

on the tumor cells. When responder Balb/c LN and helper Balb/c NW-BM cells were stimulated with spleen cells from DBA/2 mice, the induced CTL lysed DBA/2 Con A blasts but not P815 tumor target cells (Fig. 5A). Since the P815 tumor was a mastocytoma originated from DBA/2 mice, DBA/2 lymphoid cells and P815 tumor cells were known to have many mH antigens in common^{18,19)}. Nevertheless, these CTL did not lyse P815 tumor target cells. Elsewhere, we have reported that these CTL recognized only the absolute dominant antigen of DBA/2 lymphoid cells, and that this antigen was the only stimulus among many mH antigens in these culture systems¹⁾. In other words, this antigen was objective for self-nonself discri-



Fig. 5. P815 tumor cells lost the absolute dominant mH antigen expressed on DBA/2 lymphoid cells. The experiments were performed as described in the legend to Fig.1. Three thousands cells/well of LN and 3x10⁴ cells/well of Thy.1⁻ NW-BM cells from Balb/c (A) or B10D2 (B) mice were co-cultured with 3x10⁵ cells/well of mitomycin-c treated DBA/2 spleen cells. Wells were supplemented with 0.33 units/ml (A) or 0.4 units/ml (B) of rat TCGF. P815 tumor and DBA/2 Con A blast cells were used as target cells.

mination and had the role of immune regulation. Thus, in these culture systems this dominant mH antigen was the most important among many. Though this antigen was expressed on DBA/2 lymphoid cells, it was not present on the P815 tumor cells originated from DBA/2 mice.

As shown in Fig. 5B, the same results as those shown in Fig. 5A were obtained when the responder and helper NW-BM cells from Balb/c mice were replaced by those from B10D2 mice and stimulated with DBA/2 spleen cells. The responses in Fig. 5B were lower than those in Fig. 5A. The responses in at least 3 out of 9 positive wells were, however, certainly significant and these wells with significant positive responses were reproduced in every other experiments (data not shown). This result showed that B10D2 responder cells might have a lower frequency of precursors of CTL specific for the DBA/2 dominant mH antigen than Balb/c responder cells.

P815 tumor cells expressed a novel absolute dominant antigen that was not seen in DBA/2 lymphoid cells

We studied whether P815 tumor cells had an absolute dominant antigen which differed from that of DBA/2 lymphoid cells. When responder Balb/c LN and helper Balb/c NW-BM cells were stimulated with P815, the induced CTL, in about two thirds of 32 wells, lysed P815 tumor cells alone but not DBA/2 Con A blast target cells (Fig. 6A). For the same reasons explained in Figs. 5A and 5B, these CTL recognized the absolute dominant antigen of P815 tumor cells. Therefore, P815 tumor cells had an intrinsic absolute dominant antigen which differed from that of DBA/2 lymphoid cells. These results showed that P815 tumor cells also had an important antigen for self-nonself discrimination and for immune regulation of CTL generation in this culture system.



% specific lysis

Fig. 6, P815 tumor cells expressed a new absolute dominant mH amigen, not seen in DBA/2 lymphoid cells. The experiment was carried out as described in the legend to Fig. 1. Three thousands cells/well of LN and 3x104 cells/well of Thy.1 NW-BM cells from Balb/c (A) or B10D2 (B) mice were co-cultured with 3x10⁵ cells/well of mitomycin-c treated P815 tumor cells. Wells were supplemented with 0.4 units/ml (A and B) of rat TCGF. P815 tumor and DBA/2 Con A blast cells were used as target cells.

If responder LN and helper NW-BM cells, derived from B10D2 mice, were cultured with P815 tumor stimulator cells, the CTL were induced in 15 out of 32 wells (Fig. 6B). These CTL had specificity for the absolute dominant antigen of P815 tumor cells as shown in Fig. 6A. B10D2 responder cells had a lower frequency of precursors of CTL, which were specific for the absolute dominant antigen of P815 tumor cells, than Balb/c responder cells. Responses in at least 6 out of 15 positive wells were, however, certainly significant because their lysis was greater than the mean spontaneous value by more than 3 standard deviations (p<0.01).

DISCUSSION

When Thy.1 NW-BM were used as helper cells, CTL specific for mH antigens were generated by stimulating across broad non-H-2-coded genetic differences in primary MLC (Fig. 1). This result was the same as shown in the previous report, but greater responses were detected than in the previous one because NW-BM cells were treated with a lower dose of anti-Thy.1 monoclonal antibody than in the previous case. The previous report suggested that these CTL had a strict preference for the Xchromosome-linked gene product (Xir antigen), restricted to the MHC. These results suggested that the Xir antigen was the absolute dominant mH antigen in this culture system, and that it was the object for self-nonself discrimination and regulated immune response.

We studied whether tumor cells also had the absolute dominant antigen as detected in normal antigenpresentingcells (APC) of the spleen. The CTL induced from LN cells of B10D2 or Balb/c mice by stimulation with DBA/2 mitomycin-c treated spleen cells had a strict preference for DBA/2 Con A blast target cells but not for P815 tumor cells (Figs. 5A and 5B). P815 tumor cells possessed many mH antigens in common with DBA/2 lymphoid cells^{18,19)} because P815 tumor cells originated from DBA/2 mice that had received methylcholanthrene. Thus, we concluded that the induced CTL recognized only the absolute dominant antigen of DBA/2 lymphoid cells. It was of note that P815 tumor cells did not express the absolute dominant antigen found in DBA/2 lymphoid cells. On the contrary, P815 tumor cells possessed an intrinsic absolute dominant antigen that was not expressed on the surface of DBA/2 lymphoid cells (Figs. 6A and 6B).

TSTA of oncovirus induced tumor cells are TSTA on spontaneous and physically or chemically induced tumors is as yet unknown²³). There are three possibilities. 1) Mutation: most, if not all, carcinogens are mutagenic^{24,25}; 2) The expression of silent genes which code for the differentiation antigens, for example, TL antigens of leukemia cells, fetal antigen and cellular glycoprotein, gp70²⁶⁻²⁹; 3) Clonal expansion of a minor cell population which expresses a normal unique antigen that was not generally found³⁰⁾. The same issues regarding the absolute dominant antigen of P815 tumor cells are also relevant; whether the P815 dominant antigen is a mutated variant of DBA/2 dominant antigen, the result of the expression of silent gene products by which the DBA/2 dominant antigen is replaced or the normal dominant self antigen expressed on mastocyte but not lymphoid lineage. The third possibility could be neglected because the P815 absolute dominant antigen was the TSTA of P815 tumor cells which was recognized by DBA/2 responder cells as a nonself antigen (Figs. 2, 3 and 4), as discussed in detail in below. Until the P815 dominant antigen and the gene was defined, it was not determined which of the remaining possibilities were likely.

The CTL specific for the TSTA of P815

tumors were easily induced from responder DBA/2 LN cells in this primary culture system (Fig. 2). Their killing activities against P815 tumor target cells were due to neither NK nor LAK cells because P815 cells were NK resistant¹⁷⁾. Also, Balb/c Con A blast target cells and Raji cells, which were NK resistant and LAK sensitive, were not killed by these induced killer cells (Figs. 3 and 4). If responder LN cells were treated with the anti-Lyt.2 monoclonal antibody and complement, no killer cell was induced in this culture system¹⁶. As shown elsewhere and in Fig. 1, the generation of CTL was governed only by an absolute dominant antigen, such as the Xir antigen, in this culture system". Furthermore, P815 tumor cells expressed a different dominant antigen from DBA/2 lymphoid cells (Figs. 5A, 5B, 6A and 6B). Therefore, the TSTA of P815 tumor cells was identical to the P815 absolute dominant antigen because DBA/2 responder LN cells could be stimulated only by the P815 dominant antigen.

In general, the CTL specific for mH antigens were induced by priming in vivo and boosting in vitro across broad non-H-2-coded genetic differences³¹⁾. Despite stimulation across many disparate mH antigens, the induced CTL were specific to a few, "relative" dominant mH antigens^{32,33)} Thus, there was a hierarchy among the many mH antigens³⁴⁾. However, the mH antigen recognized by the CTL induced in our culture system was the "absolute" dominant single antigen, as discussed elsewhere". On the other hand, the CTL induced by hyperimmunization with some tumor cells recognized the dominant tumor specific antigen among the multiple and independent tumor specific antigens. Thus, there was an order of recognition among these tumor specific antigens³⁷. Whether the "dominant tumor specific antigen" described in those reports behaves as the "dominant mH antigen" when these tumor cells

are used as stimulators of CTL induction against the "mH antigen" of the tumor, remains unknown.

The TSTA is the only known variant that is restricted to tumor cells. The study of the TSTA might lead to elucidating not only the mechanism of oncogenesis but also of immune surveillance as well as how tumor cells escape It was therefore of interest to study it whether the TSTA, or absolute dominant antigen, of P815 tumor cells defined in this culture system contributed to the malignancy of tumor cells. The large T antigens (LT) of SV-40 viruses tumorigenize cells and maintain malignancy³⁸⁾. The LT antigens are expressed on the tumor cell surface and it is the target antigen TSTA 39.40) is. recognized by CTL, that Whether LT antigens expressed on the surface have a role in the maintenance of malignancy or are simply coincidental, remains unknown. We are now studying whether LT antigens of cells transformed by SV-40 viruses act as the absolute dominant antigen. Furthermore, there is a hypothesis that TSTA are mutated cell-to-cell interaction substances related to the differentiation of cells⁴¹. As the P815 absolute dominant antigen, TSTA, contributed to immune regulation, it is possible that the P815 absolute dominant antigen may be such a substance, and that it induces immune suppression which allows tumor cells to escape the immune responses in vivo. Incidentally, it has been reported that the regulation of immune responses against the tumor antigens depends upon non-MHC⁴² as well as MHC antigens^{43,44)} using leukemia cells induced by the murine leukemia virus (MuLV). In this report, we showed that the tumor absolute dominant antigen, TSTA, was indeed the immune regulatory gene product and was the non-MHC antigen.

It is of note that this paper reports on the intrinsic absolute dominant antigen, TSTA, of P815 tumor cells, but not of other tumor cells It has been reported in detail that immune therapy against P815 solid tumor cells is successful if spleen cells from mice immunized by the irradiated P815 tumor cells are adoptively transferred into tumor bearing mice⁴⁵. We intend to examine whether the CTL induced in the culture system used here produce a good therapeutic result when they are transferred adoptively into P815 tumor bearing mice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by a grant-in-aid for scientific research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan. The author would like to thank Mr. T. Koga, Mr. Y. Kowan and Mr. H. Higa, who belonged to the animal facility at the Sclool of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, for breeding the mice.

REFERENCES

- Tanabe, M. J.: Thy. 1⁻ bone marrow cells passed through nylon wool can augment the generation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) with preference for the X-chromosome-linked gene product in primary cultures in vitro. Ryukyu Med. J. 13: 133-145, 1993.
- Burnet, F. M.: The concept of immunological surveillance. Progr. Exp. Tumor Res. 13: 1-27, 1970.
- 3) Urban, J. L., Burton, R. C., Holland, J. M., Kripke, M. L., and Schreiber, H.: Mechanisms of syngeneic tumor rejection. Susceptibility of host-selected progressor variants to various immunological effector cells. J. Exp. Med. 155: 557-573, 1982.
- 4) Grimm, E. A., Mazumder, A., Zhang, H. Z.,

and Rosenberg, S. A.: Lymphokine-activated killer cell phenomenon. Lysis of natural killer-resistant fresh solid tumor cells by interleukin 2-activated autologous human peripheral blood lymphocytes. J. Exp. Med. 155: 1823-1841, 1982.

- 5) Urban, J. L., Shepard, H.M., Rothstein, J. L., Sugarman, B. J., and Schreiber, H. : Tumor necrosis factor: A potent effector molecule for tumor cell killing by activated macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 83: 5233-5237, 1986.
- 6) Urban, J. L., and Schreiber, H.: Selection of macrophage-resistant progressor tumor variants by the normal host. Requirement for concomitant T cell-mediated immunity. J. Exp. Med. 157: 642-656, 1983.
- 7) Patek, P. Q., Collins, J. L., and Cohn, M. : Transformed cell lines susceptible or resistant to in vivo surveillance against tumorigenesis. Nature 276: 510-511,1978.
- Purtilo, D. T.: Biology of disease. Defective immune surveillance in viral carcinogenesis. Lab. Invest. 51: 373-385, 1984.
- 9) Stutman, O.: Tumor development after 3methylcholanthrene in immunologically deficient athymic-nude mice. Science 183: 534-536, 1974.
- Prehn, R. T., and Main, J. M.: Immunity to methylcholanthrene-induced sarcomas. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 18: 769-778, 1957.
- Klein, G., Sjogren, H. O., Klein, E., and Hellstrom, K. E.: Demonstration of resistance against methylcholanthrene-induced sarcomas in the primary autochthonous host. Cancer Res. 20: 1561-1572, 1960.
- Kripke, M. L.: Antigenicity of murine skin tumors induced by ultraviolet light. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 35: 1333-1336, 1974.
- Vaage, J.: Nonvirus-associated antigens in virus-induced mouse mammary tumors. Cancer Res. 28: 2477-2483, 1968.
- 14) Julius, M. H., Simpson, E., and Herzenberg,

156

L. A.: A rapid method for the isolation of functional thymus-derived murine lymphocytes. Eur. J. Immunol. 3: 645-649, 1973.

- 15) Muraoka, S., and Miller, R. G.: Cells in bone marrow and in T cell colonies grown from bone marrow can suppress generation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes directed against their self antigens. J. Exp. Med. 152: 54-71, 1980.
- 16) Tanabe, M. J.: Prethymic nylon wool-passed bone marrow cells, substituting for helper T cells, can augment the generation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes from their precursors. Microbiol. Immunol. 35: 1115-1130, 1991.
- 17) Kiessling, R., Klein, E., and Wigzell, H.: "Natural" killer cells in the mouse. I. Cytotoxic cells with specificity for mouse Moloney leukemia cells. Specificity and distribution according to genotype. Eur. J. Immunol. 5: 112-117, 1975.
- 18) Bevan, M. J.: Interaction antigens detected by cytotoxic T cells with the major histocompatibility complex as modifier. Nature 256: 419-421, 1975.
- Ksander, B. R., and Streilein, J. W.: Recovery of activated cytotoxic T cells from minor H incompatible tumor graft rejection sites. J. Immunol. 143: 426-431, 1989.
- 20) Tevethia, S. S., Greenfield, R. S., Flyer, D. C., and Tevethia, M. J.: SV40 transplantation antigen: Relationship to SV40-specific proteins. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol., 44: 235-242, 1979.
- 21) Flyer, D. C., Burakoff, S. J., and Faller, D. V.: Cytotoxic T lymphocyte recognition of transfected cells expressing a cloned retroviral gene. Nature 305: 815-818, 1983.
- 22) Klarnet, J. P., Kern, D. E., Okuno, K., Holt, C., Lilly, F., and Greenberg, P. D.: FBLreactive CD8⁺ cytotoxic and CD4⁺ helper T lymphocytes recognize distinct Friend murine leukemia virus-encoded antigens. J. Exp. Med. 169: 457-467, 1989.

- Schreiber, H., Ward, P. L., Rowley, D. A., and Stauss, H. J.: Unique tumor-specific antigens. Ann. Rev. Immunol. 6: 465-483, 1988.
- 24) Nowell, P. C.: The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. Science 194: 23-28, 1976.
- 25) Klein, G., and Klein, E.: Evolution of tumours and the impact of molecular oncology. Nature 315: 190-195, 1985.
- 26) Old, L. J.: Cancer Immunology: The search for specificity-G. H. A. Clowes Memorial Lecture. Cancer Res. 41: 361-375, 1981.
- Coggin, J. H., and Anderson N. G.: Cancer, differentiation and embryonic antigens: Some central problems. Advan. Cancer Res. 19: 105-165, 1974.
- 28) Lennox, E. S., Lowe, A. D., Cohn, J., and Evan, G.: Specific antigens on methylcholanthrene-induced tumors of mice. Transplant. Proc. 13: 1759-1761, 1981.
- 29) Roman, J. M., Hirsch, J., Readhead, C., Levy, D., DeOgny, L., and Dreyer, W. J.: Heritable differences among gp70-like molecules on C3H ultraviolet light-induced fibrosarcomas. Transplant. Proc. 13: 1782-1786, 1981.
- Burnet, F. M.: A certain symmetry: Histocompatibility antigens compared with immunocyte receptors. Nature 226: 123-126, 1970.
- 31) Bevan, M. J.: The major histocompatibility complex determines susceptibility to cytotoxic T cells directed against minor histocompatibility antigens. J. Exp. Med. 142: 1349-1364, 1975.
- 32) Wettstein, P. J.: Immunodominance in the Tcell response to multiple non-H-2 histocompatibility antigens. II. Observation of a hierarchy among dominant antigens. Immunogenetics 24: 24-31, 1986.
- 33) Korngold, R., and Wettstein, P. J.: Immunodominance in the graft-vs-host disease T cell response to minor histocompatibility

antigens. J. Immunol. 145: 4079-4088, 1990.

- 34) Wettstein, P. J., and Bailey, D. W.: Immunodominance in the immune response to "multiple" histocompatibility antigens. Immunogenetics 16: 47-58, 1982.
- 35) Wortzel, R. D., Philipps, C., and Schreiber, H.: Multiple tumour-specific antigens expressed on a single tumour cell. Nature 304: 165-167, 1983.
- 36) Urban, J. L., Kripke, M. L., and Schreiber, H.: Stepwise immunologic selection of antigenic variants during tumor growth. J. Immunol. 137: 3036-3041, 1986.
- 37) Urban, J. L., Van Waes, C., and Schreiber, H.: Pecking order among tumor-specific antigens. Eur. J. Immunol. 14: 181-187, 1984.
- 38) Watson, J. D., Hopkins, N. H., Roberts, J. W., Steitz, J. A., and Weiner, A. M.: The genetic basis of cancer. In: Molecular Biology of the Gene, Vol. 2, Chap. 26, pp. 1006-1057. Benjamin-Cummings, Menlo Park, CA., 1987.
- 39) Tevethia, S. S., Tevethia, M. J., Lewis, A. J., Reddy, V. B., and Weissman, S. M.: Biology of simian virus 40 (SV40) transplantation antigen (TrAg). IX. Analysis of TrAg in mouse cells synthesizing truncated SV40 large T antigen. Virology 128: 319-330, 1983.

- 40) O'Connell, K. A., and Gooding, L. R.: Cloned cytotoxic T lymphocytes recognize cells expressing discrete fragments of the SV40 tumor antigen. J. Immunol. 132: 953-958, 1984.
- Boyse, E. A.: Organization and modulation of cell membrane receptors. In: Immune Surveillance, edited by R. T. Smith and M. Landy, pp. 5-48. Academic, New York, 1970.
- 42) Demant, P.: Histocompatibility and the genetics of tumour resistance. J. Immunogenet. 13: 61-67, 1986.
- 43) Plata, F., and Lilly, F.: Viral specificity of H-2-restricted T killer cells directed against syngeneic tumors induced by Gross, Friend, or Rauscher leukemia virus. J. Exp. Med. 150: 1174-1186, 1979.
- 44) Gomard, E., Henin, Y., Colombani, M. J., and Levy, J. P.: Immune response genes control T killer cell response against Moloney tumor antigen cytolysis regulating reactions against the best available H-2 + viral antigen association. J. Exp. Med. 151: 1468-1476, 1980.
- North, R. J.: The murine antitumor immune response and its therapeutic manipulation. Adv. Immunol. 35: 89-155, 1984.